The better version of routine tissue processing technique-modified tissue processing
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Abstract
We all know that “necessity is the mother of all inventions”, this applies very well to this technique. This experiment was carried out to overcome the drawbacks of routine tissue processing like difficult procurement, shrinkage, dull intensity of color, hardening etc. During routine tissue processing paraffin embedding is done before sectioning and the tissue has to undergo the process of fixation and dehydration in order to get prepared for sectioning. Very commonly used dehydrating agent which is considered to be a good one is ethyl alcohol but its purchase is subjected to many restrictions and causes shrinkage and hardening of tissue. Owing to this drawback of ethyl alcohol various other dehydrating agents were launched and used. We have tried a combination of isopropyl alcohol with acetone (3:1) and compared the section with routine tissue processing method. Sections prepared were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The results obtained were much better than the routine technique when compared as well the modified technique was found to be cost effective as well.
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Introduction
The dawn of 21st century heralds the coming age of molecular medicine and era of preventive medicine. Physical examination alone can no longer suffice for clinching a diagnosis and light microscopy need to be strengthened by ultra-structural analysis for evaluation of disorder.[1]

Histology is the study of tissue which involve investigation of microscopicanatomy or architecture of more specialized tissue.[2]

Stabilized tissues must be adequately supported before they can be sectioned for microscopically examination. Whilst they may be sectioned following a range of preparatory freezing methods, tissues are more commonly taken through a series of reagents and finally infiltrated and embedded in a stable medium which when hard, provides the necessary support for microtomy. This treatment is termed tissue processing.

The quality of structural preservation seen in the final stained and mounted section is largely determined by the choice of fixative and embedding medium. During tissue processing loss of cellular constituents and shrinkage or distortion should be minimal. After fixation, post-fixation and preparatory procedures, the four main stages in the paraffin method are dehydration, clearing, infiltration and embedding.

Each step of tissue processing is of utmost importance from procurement till final mounting. Out of every step of tissue processing dehydrating agents were among the most noxious and highly inflammable chemicals found in laboratory of histology.

From decades formalin as fixative, ethanol as a dehydrating agent, had been the first choice in spite of its drawbacks like hardening and shrinkage of tissue, highly inflammable, difficult procurement, high price and tissue brittleness.

Most methods currently used to manipulate tissues for microscopic examination were developed in the early 1900s.[3]

Here also we did an experiment in the department by replacing the routine formalin as a fixating agent by neutral buffered solution and ethanol with a combination of Isopropyl alcohol and acetone (Ratio 3:1) in various grades without compromising the quality, but at same time overcoming the drawbacks.

Aims & Objectives
1. To overcome the drawbacks faced during routine tissue processing technique.
2. To evolve a method which is more cost effective and time saving then routine method.

Material and Method
The present study was conducted in the Department of Anatomy, BRD medical college, Gorakhpur.

Fresh specimens of lymph node, ileum, pancreas, hyaline cartilage and spleen were procured from the postmortem house without any external identifiable pathology. They were then divided into 2 groups.

Tissues in Group A were fixed in 10% formalin for a duration of one week. Dehydrating agent used is ethanol in grades of 50%, 70%, 90%. Absolute for 60 mins each and was stained with hematoxylin and eosin.

Tissue in Group B were fixed in Buffered formalin for 72 hrs. Dehydrating agent used is a combination of isopropyl alcohol and acetone in ratio of 3:1 in grades of 70%, 90% and absolute for 30 mins each and was stained using Hematoxylin and eosin.
Rest of the further procedure like clearing with xylene, embedding and impregnation with paraffin wax, dewaxing, and final mounting remain common for both Group A and B.

Slides were prepared and shown to 10 faculty members, 10 technical staff for report about the various criteria of slides of both group A & group B on cellular clarity, cytoplasmic details, nuclear clarity, color intensity, connective tissue.

**Observation**

**Grades:** Score from 1-10 was being given by the observers to both the slides which was being recorded in table after calculating the mean score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Better</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Same</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Cellular Clarity</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic Details</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Nuclear Clarity</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Color Intensity</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Connective tissue</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Overall Cost</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Time consumption</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: Hyaline cartilage (Routine)

Fig. 2: Ileum (Routine)

Fig. 3: Lymph Node (Routine)
Fig. 4: Pancreas (Routine)

Fig. 5: Spleen (Routine)

**Table 2: Time comparison chart**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>Routine</th>
<th>Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Fixation</td>
<td>1 wk in formalin</td>
<td>72 hrs in buffered formalin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Dehydration (total)</td>
<td>Approx. 5hrs</td>
<td>Approx. 2.5 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Clearing (total)</td>
<td>3hrs</td>
<td>1.5hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Rehydration</td>
<td>8-10min</td>
<td>4-5min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Staining (Total)</td>
<td>Approx 10 mins</td>
<td>Approx 8 mins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Total Time</td>
<td>Approx 176 hrs</td>
<td>Approx 76 hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Cost comparison chart**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Reagents</th>
<th>Routine technique</th>
<th>Modern technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount used</td>
<td>Cost (Rs)</td>
<td>Amount used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Formalin</td>
<td>1.5 lit</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>1.5 lit</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Isopropyl alcohol</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 lit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>½ lit</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3 lit</td>
<td>2490</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total estimate of routine technique was about Rs 2,490 while that of modified technique was Rs 2,050.

**Result & Discussion**

Based on observation following results were obtained:

- a. The overall time duration by this method of tissue processing was almost reduced to half.
- b. Cellular, cytoplasmic, nuclear details, color intensity was better.
- c. Cost effective

For almost 100 years, the steps followed to prepare tissues for microscopic review have remained practically unchanged.[4]

Formaldehyde-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded tissue (FFPE) is the product of a century old histopathology practice. However, tissue processed by this system has limited application beyond routine histology and immunohistochemistry.[5]

Substantial shortcomings associated with this practice include at least a one day delay in providing the diagnosis,[6,7] reagent toxicity, and degradation of nucleic acids.[8]

The method reported here reproducibly yield histologic material of similar or superior quality to that provided by time honored conventional processing. It has many advantage & potential for preserving of molecular integrity of specimen that might be used for subsequent studies.

Similar study was done by Buesa R.J[9] in department of pathology, Miami using mineral oil as dehydrating agent. They produced results which were almost similar to our results, however the cost factor was not considered there.
Conclusion

It has always been a tough job for histopathologist specially to give results in less time without compromising the quality. In such situation the above method would prove to be a boon for them.
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