Ocular disorders in children with learning disabilities in a tertiary Hospital, Pondicherry
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Abstract
Aim: To assess the visual function of the children with disabilities and to identify the preventable and treatable ocular abnormalities.

Materials and Methods: This was a cross sectional hospital based study which included the children aged between 3 to 16 years with learning disabilities (cLDs, previously referred to as mentally challenged) who were on speech and occupational therapy sessions. After consent from parents or guardian who accompanied the child, relevant medical history was taken. Detailed ocular examination, visual acuity assessment, cycloplegic retinoscopy of all children was done. Spectacles and low vision aids were prescribed appropriately.

Results: A total of 116 children with learning disabilities were enrolled. There were 79 (68.1%) males as compared to 37 (31.9%) females in the study. Eighty eight children (76%) had ocular disorder, 31 children had more than one ocular abnormality and 51 of them were not cooperative for assessment. The most common ocular disorders seen in these children were 48 (54.5%) children had refractive errors, strabismus in 17 (19.3%) children, followed by nystagmus in 15 (17%) children, Only 7 of the 48 children (14.6%) with refractive error were using spectacles.

Conclusion: The prevalence of ocular abnormalities is higher among the children with disabilities than in general population. Their flawed verbal, poor communication and cooperation add on to the burden of their disabilities as the ocular abnormalities go unnoticed. Therefore there is a need for strategies regarding increasing awareness, annual comprehensive ophthalmic assessments, early detection and treatment of the ocular disorders to assist these children in their learning process.
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Introduction
Vision plays an important role in the development of a child. Uncorrected vision affects the child’s performance at various levels. In children with disabilities, vision is one of the important senses on which they depend on, for their understanding and communication with the outer world.¹ In India among 26.8 million people suffering from one or the other type of disability 7.8million are children aged 0-19 years accounting for 29% of the total disabled population as per census conducted in 2011.²

In children with learning disabilities (cLDs, previously referred to as mentally challenged or retarded) visual problems are relatively common but as the priorities are on the primary disability, the visual impairment and its assessment are often overlooked.³ ⁴ On the other hand the ocular examination in these children with learning disabilities is a challenge and needs patience, skills and a broader range of assessment instruments.¹

Studies have reported higher incidence of ophthalmological abnormalities in children with learning disabilities.¹ ³⁶ The presence of more than one disability in an individual can have multiplicative rather than an additive effect on their life experience.⁷ Thus, even a minor visual problem, reducing their visual clues, needs to be identified and addressed at the earliest in order to optimize their social and academic performance.

The aim of the present study was to identify the visual disorders among children with learning disabilities who had been attending speech and occupational therapy clinics.

Materials and Methods
The study was approved by Institutional Ethical Committee. All children (children <16 years, as defined by WHO) with learning disabilities who were attending speech and occupational therapy clinics in our institution between September 2015 and August 2016 were enrolled in our study. The examination process was explained to the parent or guardian accompanying the children. Those children whose parents did not give consent for the examination were excluded from the study. Written informed consent was taken from the parent or guardian who accompanied the child. Relevant details regarding the disability, family history, birth history, history of consanguinity was recorded. Intelligence quotient (IQ) had been assessed earlier using the Binet–Kamat method⁸ at the Psychiatry department and recorded.

Ocular examination was carried out in diffuse illumination with a flash light to observe head posture and facial anomalies. Snellen’s E-chart was used for assessing visual acuity in children who could read and co-operate. For other children, visual acuity was tested using picture charts or Cardiff’s preferential looking tests. Near vision testing was done at 33cm followed by
distant vision testing at 6 meters. Hirschberg’s light reflex test and cover uncover test was used to evaluate visual axis and strabismus. Ocular movements were tested and presence of nystagmus was checked. Anterior segment was examined using torch light and slit-lamp examination. Direct and consensual pupillary light reflexes were also checked.

Subjective correction of refractive errors was attempted in children who were cooperative. Cycloplegic retinoscopy was done in all children using 0.3% cyclopentolate eye drops after ascertaining that the child didn’t have seizures. In case of history of seizures, 0.5% homide eye drops were used. A detailed fundus examination after dilatation was done by direct ophthalmoscope. Myopia was defined as spherical equivalent of more than or equal to -0.5 diopter (D), hypermetropia as more than or equal to +1.0 D and astigmatism as more than or equal to ±0.5 D. Spectacle prescription was given to all children with refractive errors.

The data was entered using an excel sheet and analyzed using SPSS software version 22. The data was expressed using descriptive statistics.

Results
The study included a total of 116 children, with learning disabilities who were attending speech and occupational therapy clinics. Out of the 116 children, 39 (33.6%) were in the age group of 3 to 9 years and 77 (66.4%) were in the age group of 10 to 16 years. There were 79 (68.1%) males as compared to 37 (31.9%) females in the study. A stormy perinatal history was seen in 56 (48.3%) children, 22 (18.9%) had positive family history of learning disability/cognitive impairment and 28 (24.1%) had positive history of consanguinity.

Out of 116 children examined 88 (76%) had ocular disorders and 31 children had more than one oculer abnormality. For visual acuity assessment 51 children were not cooperative, 22 children cooperated for Snellen’s E-chart, 26 children by picture chart, 17 children by Cardiff preferential looking charts.

The most common ocular manifestation observed in the study was refractive errors, present in 54.5% children. Strabismus was the second most common finding, seen in 19.3% children followed by nystagmus in 17% children. Conical opacity and optic atrophy were seen in 3 children each. Retinitis pigmentosa, microphthalmia (unioocular), corneal dystrophy were noted in two children each, while 2 children were found with congenital cataract. Coloboma of iris, lens, choroid and retina was seen in one child. One of the child presented with retinal detachment as an ROP sequela. Fig. 1 shows the ocular problems found in children with learning disability.
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**Fig. 1: Distribution of ocular disorder in children with learning disability**

Refractive error was seen in 48 children (54.5% of ocular problem). Of them, refractive error was present in both eyes in 39 children (81.2%) whereas 10 children (20.8%) had in one eye only. The most common type of refractive error was simple myopia in 36 eyes (40.91%), followed by astigmatism in 32 eyes (36.36%), hypermetropia in 12 eyes (13.64%) and high myopia in 8 eyes (9.09%) as shown in figure 2. Among the astigmatism, simple myopic astigmatism was the commonest type of astigmatism noted in 14 eyes (43.75%) followed by compound myopic astigmatism in 8 eyes (25.0%), simple hypermetropic astigmatism in...
6 eyes (18.75%), compound hypermetropic astigmatism in 4 eyes (12.5%). Only 7 of the 48 children (14.6%) had been previously corrected for their refractive error. Spectacles were prescribed to all 31 children who had been found to have refractive error and new corrected prescription of glasses was given to the rest 7 children who were using glasses.

The distribution of IQ scores along with number of children with ocular problems is presented in Table 1.

Of the five profoundly mentally retarded children, two of them had optic atrophy, one with microphthalmia and another child had corneal opacity. The number of students having ocular problem among severe and profoundly mentally disability, 80.77% and 80.0% respectively was more as compared to 73.33% in mild and 75.0% in moderate mental disability.
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**Fig. 2: Distribution of refractive error in children with learning disability**

Of the 17 (19.3%) children who had strabismus, 10(58.8%) had exotropia and 7(41.2%) had esotropia, 3 of whom had surgery. Two children with cataract underwent cataract surgery and the child with retinal detachment underwent surgery. Children with retinitis pigmentosa and retino choroidal coloboma were offered low-vision aids.

**Discussion**

Children with learning disabilities face many challenges including poor communication skills and intellectual disabilities affecting their overall development. If visual problems get added to their already existing disability, it has a negative impact on the quality of their life as they are entirely dependent on the visual inputs for their understanding and communication with outer world. Thus visual problems need to be identified and addressed at the earliest.

Our study found that 76% of the children with learning disabilities had ocular problem. Gogate et al in special schools of Pune reported 45.3%,1 Gurvinder Kaur et al in special schools of North India reported 43%9 and Joshi RS et al in Nagpur reported 51.45% of children with disabilities having ocular problems.10

Refractive error (54.5%) followed by strabismus (19.3%) were the most common ocular problems noted in our study. A similar observation was noted in Gogate et al with refractive error 27.3% followed by strabismus 15.8%.1 Bankes found 49% mentally handicapped children had some form of refractive error.12 In a series of 134 intellectually challenged students in Nepal, refractive errors were found in 67.9%.12 Vora U et al had reported 58.5% of refractive error among the special children in Oman.13

Among the refractive errors of the children with learning disabilities, myopia 50% (simple myopia 40.91% and high myopia 9.09%) the commonest type, followed by astigmatism 36.3% and hypermetropia 13.6% were noted in our study. Gurvinder Kaur et al had reported myopia commonest in 13.1% children with disabilities followed by hypermetropia in 6.7% and astigmatism in 3.2%.9 Warburg found the prevalence of myopia to be at 43% and of hypermetropia at 21% in severe/profoundly

---

**Table 1: Distribution of ocular disorders in association with severity of mental retardation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mental Disability (I.Q.)</th>
<th>Total No. of Students</th>
<th>No. of Students with Ocular Morbidity No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild (50 - 69)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>33 (73.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (35 - 49)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30 (75.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe (22 - 34)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21 (80.77%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profound (&lt; 20)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4 (80.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
intellectually impaired adults.\textsuperscript{14} Vora U et al had reported astigmatism in 27.1\% followed by myopia in 24.3\% and hypermetropia in 18.6\% of special kids.\textsuperscript{13} Ghising R et al had reported hypermetropia 50.3\% followed by myopia 24.9\% and astigmatism at 24.8\%.\textsuperscript{12}

In our study 19.3\% of children with learning disabilities had strabismus. Similar observation was noted by Gogate et al in 15.8\%.,\textsuperscript{1} Gurvinder Kaur et al in 18.1\% and Vora U et al\textsuperscript{13} in 14.3\% of children with special needs. Strabismus could be due to amblyopia, binocularity is usually lost. Binocular vision disruption at early age affects the development of the child and hinders the learning process. Therefore strabismus should be corrected at an early age and appropriate orthoptic management should be attempted.

Nystagmus was found in 17\% of children in our study, Gurvinder Kaur et al\textsuperscript{1} reported in 16.6\% while Gogate et al\textsuperscript{1} and Vora U et al\textsuperscript{13} reported in 6.8\% and 4.3\% respectively. Children with nystagmus have reduced vision and difficulties in fixation leading to a huge hurdle in their developmental process. In our study both the children with congenital cataract had nystagmus as well.

Early identification of these potential and additional barriers to their learning and development may result in a better quality of life in these children with special needs. In these intellectually challenged children, the other disabilities are prioritized and the need for eye care often remains unnoticed or neglected. Refractive error correction at early age prevents amblyopia and prevents the child from compromised living with avoidable blindness. The fact that majority of the causes of visual impairment like refractive errors, strabismus, leading to amblyopia are easily treatable, signifies the need for regular ocular assessment in these children with disabilities.\textsuperscript{1,7,13}

There were only 14.6\% children who were evaluated earlier and were using spectacles in our study, while Gogate et al\textsuperscript{1} reported as low as 6\% and Vora U et al\textsuperscript{13} reported 13.6\%. Woodhouse et al recently reported that half of a cohort of children attending special schools had refractive errors warranting new or first-time spectacle prescription.\textsuperscript{15} Studies of children with special needs have established that there are significant unmet visual needs in a high proportion of children, who were undiagnosed.\textsuperscript{3}

The ocular problems were noted to be more as the severity of mental disability increases in our study as in Joshi RS et al who reported a significant association between the severity of mental disability and ocular morbidities.\textsuperscript{10} The assessment may be more challenging as the severity of mental disability increases. Das et al\textsuperscript{16} stated that ‘the manner in which an eye test is conducted is most important’ and emphasized the importance of carrying out tests in a familiar environment. Ocular disorders may not be recognized without careful assessment and are frequently unidentified in children with complex needs.\textsuperscript{6} To meet the visual needs of children with disability, stronger links are needed between child development and community paediatric services, ophthalmology and specialist education services for children with special needs.

However awareness among parents and care takers are still lacking. Many parents believed that someone needs to be communicable verbally for to undergo eye examination, some of them feared that their child might injure themselves using spectacles and some felt that their child did not need spectacles.

\textbf{Conclusion}

Periodic screening of these children with learning disabilities and proper counseling of their parents and care takers about the importance of screening and the correction of the visual problems, provide a greater chance of improvement in their milestones and well being. There need to be a clear guidelines and closer working between all professionals involved in the care of the children with disabilities to provide a new horizon in their lifetime.
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