Abstract

Introduction: The Modified Spinal Process Osteotomy (MSPO) surgery is done for degenerative spinal canal stenosis which gives adequate exposure and avoid iatrogenic instability and long segment fusion following conventional laminectomy. The objective of this article is to evaluate clinical and radiological results of the MSPO.

Materials and Methods: Total 20 patients (13 male and 7 female) were included and studied prospectively with multilevel degenerative lumbar canal stenosis that were operated for posterior decompression by MSPO and laminectomy after detailed clinical and radiological work up. Regular follow up was done at 6 weeks 3 months and 1 year, clinical assessment for leg pain and back pain done by VAS, functional measurement of disability by Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) done. Dynamic view X-ray of lumbar spine taken and compared to the preoperative X-ray. CT scan was taken at 1 year post op to assess the union of spinous process.

Results: All patients were followed up for 3 years. All patients recovered completely from thigh and leg pain and no patients had neurological deterioration. VAS for LBA and leg pain improved significantly along with significant improvement in ODI. The overall results were good to excellent in 93% of the patients, fair in 7% and no poor outcome. All patients were satisfied with the outcome at the last follow-up. The osteotomised spinous process eventually united with the retained laminar bridge in all patients.

Conclusion: A less invasive and less technically demanding MSPO technique provides better exposure and visualization, retaining median structures with least disturbance of kinematics of the lumbar spine. This procedure gives advantages for early ambulation and normalisation of patient’s lifestyle and early return to work.
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getting worse, they were planned for MSPO. All patients were admitted one day prior to surgery and detailed clinical and radiological work up was done. Pre-op dynamic view X-ray were taken to confirm instability. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was done for all patients. Pre operative subjective assessment of leg pain and back pain done by Visual Analog Scale (VAS) and Oswestry Disability Index (ODI).

Common comorbidities in the elderly group were hypertension in 7 patients (M=5, F=2), Diabetes in 8 patients (M=3, F=5). All patients were managed for comorbidities by the physician before the surgery. We performed surgery on patients with severe leg pain with or without motor weakness with reduce walking (Claudication) distance. We have excluded the patients with traumatic, metabolic and congenital causes of LCS, patients with pre-op instability and previous history of any lumbar surgery (Table 1).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion Criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Degenerative lumbar canal stenosis (LCS)</td>
<td>1. Congenital and traumatic causes of LCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Failed conservative syndrome</td>
<td>2. Metabolic causes of LCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Age 45-70 years</td>
<td>3. Preoperative instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Previous lumbar spine surgery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operative Technique:** This surgery was performed in prone position on Wilson’s frame. A midline incision was made through the skin and subcutaneous tissues (Fig. 1). Length of skin incision was depends on the number of involved level. For single level stenosis 3 cm incision was put and the maximum length of skin incision was 10-12 cm for multilevel stenosis. Unilateral paraspinal muscles reflected from Median structures sparing supraspinous and interspinous ligaments, and spinous processes. Self retaining retractor placed (Fig. 2). Spinous processes osteotomy were done near the base of spinous process (Fig. 4). The number of spinous processes cut depends on the number of levels to be decompressed. For a 4 level decompression, 3 spinous processes were cut and for single level 2 spinous process osteotomy done for better exposure and mobilization of midline structure. The required spinous processes attached with their supraspinous and interspinous ligament were retracted to one side (Fig. 5).
A standard laminectomy was performed, ligament flavum was excised, and any offending osseous or discogenic compressing material was removed to achieve adequate decompression of the entire stenotic width and length of the dural sac and its contents. Deroofing of the nerve root canals was performed when required. Osteotomized spinous process along with their attachment repositioned, dead space avoided by approximating paraspinal muscle by intermittent suturing. Dorsolumbar fascia closed in continuous manner. The wound was closed in layers over the suction drain. No blood transfusion was used for decompression up to 3 levels. The drain was removed after 48 hours and the patients were encouraged to walk with a light brace on 3rd or 4th postoperative day. The spinal brace was gradually discarded about 3 months after the operation. All physical and routine activities allowed to all patients after 3 months of the operation.

On follow-up, the patient and his/her close relations were enquired about any changes in the posture while walking, increase in the walking distance, improvement in dysesthesia in lower limb, the motor power, capability to climb stairs. The patients were called 2 weeks after discharge from the hospital for clinical assessment and stitch removal. They were evaluated at 6 weeks, 3 months after the procedure, and thereafter at 1 year. Patients were followed up by periodic clinical examination, assessment of VAS and ODI score. X-rays anteroposterior and lateral view of lumbosacral spine in maximum flexion and extension was taken on each follow up to assess the instability and CT scan at 1 year to assess the union of osteotomized spinous process. All patients with minimum 18 months follow up were included in the study.

Results
Total 20 patients were included in our study which could be followed up for 18 months or more were
included in this study. The average age at surgery for male was 54.3 years and for female 51.7 years. The average follow-up was 11.5 months. Eleven (55%) patients had decompression at single level, five patient (25%) had 2 level and four patients had more than 2 level (40%) decompression. Bilateral decompression done in 75% patient and unilateral decompression done in 25% patients. L4 MSPO done for all patients, L2 in 5% (1/20), L3 in 40% (8/20) and L5 in 80% (16/20) patients.

Intraoperative complications were happened in only 1 patient in the form of dural tear which was repaired without any further odd consequences. No post operative complication occurred in any of our patients. 95% pts ambulated on day one. 75% resumed normal work at 3 weeks. There was no clinical or radiological evidence of instability at 1 year followup. 70% (14/20) showed spinous process union with retained laminar bridge at 1 year.

Result of this study is shown in Table 2. The overall result of our study were good to excellent in 85% (17 patients), fair result in 15% (3 patients) and no poor result at 6 months follow-up. At 1 year follow-up 93% patient had good to excellent result. The average preoperative and postoperative VAS score for back and leg pain is shown in Table 3. 90% improvement in VAS for back pain and 100% improvement in VAS for leg pain seen after MSPO technique in our study. ODI also improved significantly after MSPO technique (Prop average ODI 72, Post op average ODI 22.6).

At final follow up at 1 year all patients were satisfied with the procedure with no difficulty in doing active flexion–extension movement (Fig. 9 and 10), active lateral bending and rotations smoothly, without “catch” or apprehension. Patients experienced marked relief (70–90%) from neurogenic claudication and they observed marked improvement in their preoperative stooping posture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>6 Month Follow-up</th>
<th>1 Year Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good to Excellent</td>
<td>85(17/20)</td>
<td>93(18/20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>15(3/20)</td>
<td>7(2/20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9. Full flexion at 1 year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Pre op Average (M,F)</th>
<th>Post op Average (M,F)</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS-Back Pain</td>
<td>7.4(7.4,7.6)</td>
<td>3.3(3.3, 3)</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS –Leg Pain</td>
<td>7.75(7.8, 7.5)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.8, 1.5)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODI</td>
<td>72(72, 70)</td>
<td>22.6 (22.6, 21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of VAS at 3 months and ODI at 1yr gave similar results.

Discussion

Conventional laminectomy technique exposures of lumbar posterior elements, which include stripping of multifidus bilaterally, with subsequent wide resection, have potentially serious consequences. In Massshi et al11 study they mentioned that commonly used techniques of lumbar decompression that include bilateral elevation of paraspinal musculature and Aggressive bony resection can result in significant iatrogenic instability of spine. Mayer et al12 demonstrated a decrease in muscle strength with associated atrophy on postoperative computed...
tomography. In Bradley K Weiner et al study, they found that use of spinous process osteotomies in lobar decompressive surgery provides excellent visualization and room to work while minimizing resection and injury to tissues not directly involved in the pathological process. To overcome the iatrogenic instability created by conventional laminectomy and need for posterior stabilization and fusion of mobile segments of the spine entails hypermobility of the adjacent unfused joints which may lead to instability or premature disc degeneration or stenosis of the canal a less invasive newer technique is required. The incidence of adjacent segment degeneration in patients followed up for 5 years or more after fusion has been reported as 10–30%.\cite{14,16} Such changes may reflect the natural history of lumbar spondylosis over the years.\cite{17,18} The recent changes in minimally or less invasive spine surgery techniques has been based on the goals of minimization of destruction to unaffected tissues and optimisation of the cosmetic results with adequate exposure to decompress the thecal sac and nerve root. These goals should be to achieve decompressive surgery completely and safely. Microendoscopic techniques involve unilateral paraspinal retraction, ipsilateral decompression, and contralateral decompression, using microendoscope and under the midline posterior structures. However, the ipsilateral portion of this technique is limited by visualisation and Kerrison angulation of the lateral zone leads to inadequate decompression on canal and nerve root.

In our new technique of modified spinous process osteotomy appears to achieve these goals, while affording excellent visualisation and complete decompression. MSPO preserves the posterior midline elements while allowing them to be retracted away from the working area, and it also respects the integrity of the spinous processes and supra-/interspinous ligaments while removing the ligamentum flavum and decompressing the thecal sac and lateral recess and our study was comparable with the Masashi et al study. In our study intra-op complication occur in the form of dural tear with kerrison rongeur which was repaired without any odd incidence. In Masashi et al study they had 2 dural tear.

The process of MSPO can be accomplished with less surgical exposure and complexity, translating into decreased blood loss and operating time, with no major complication. Furthermore, the use of MSPO does not require any specific instruments or extensive experience.

**Conclusion**

Modified spinous process osteotomy is less invasive, less technically demanding and a simple procedure without any serious complications. Multilevel lumbar canal stenosis of any variety (developmental, degenerative or combined variety), with or without concomitant intraspinal pathology can be decompressed satisfactorily by this novel technique. The retained median structures maintain the tension band and the strength and possibly the proprioceptive sensations of the lumbar spine, which ensure least disturbance of kinematics, mobility, stability and lordosis of the operated lumbar spine and concomitant discectomy, root-canal deroofing can be done comfortably when indicated.
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