Mean Platelet Volume as a Diagnostic Marker of Acute Appendicitis in Children and Adolescents – How useful is it?
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ABSTRACT
Background: The clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis (AA) in children and adolescents is still problematic. 
Objectives: To evaluate the role of Mean Platelet Volume (MPV) in acute appendicitis in children and adolescents.
Materials and methods: A retrospective study involving 52 test and 51 control individuals. The statistical analysis expressed as mean MPV ± standard deviation, independent ‘t’ test for calculating p values.
Results: In the Group ‘T’ mean MPV was 7.48 and in Group ‘C’ was 8.02. The p value was 0.027 which is less than 0.05 indicating it was statistically significantly lower than the Group ‘C’.
Conclusion: Current study indicated that MPV decreases significantly in AA group of children and adolescents. Hence we believe taking MPV into consideration will help in the diagnosis of suspected acute appendicitis in children and adolescents.
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INTRODUCTION
Acute appendicitis (AA) unveils a set of confusions to the clinician as the diagnosis of the AA is still complicated and problematic. Delay in diagnosis leads to perforation even just after twenty-four hours of onset of pain followed by its complications. Furthermore, the negative appendectomy rate may be as high as 50% in young children, geriatric patients and in adolescent females.¹ Many attempts are made to find out the new tool to arrive at diagnosis. Ultrasonography and computerized tomography are used extensively with the promising results.² Even then they are not sufficient.³

Thus there is the need for a diagnostic tool which would be applicable everywhere, be cheap, non invasive, and less time consuming but be able to distinguish nonspecific abdominal pain from AA. One of the laboratory parameters considered generally is leucocyte count. However the leucocyte count and blood inflammatory mediators remain nearly unaltered in early cases of AA which have not gone up to the extent of perforation.⁴

Mean Platelet Volume (MPV) is known to be a marker of platelet function and activation. MPV is a general laboratory parameter obtained during complete blood counts to which the clinicians do not pay the attention. It is a value in automated count which is detected along with other parameters in automatic blood count machines. Several studies have been carried out on the diagnostic value of MPV in various inflammatory and even non inflammatory conditions. The value may decrease or increase depending on the individual variety of inflammation.⁴ In this scenario of conflicting opinions, this study aims to evaluate the diagnostic value of MPV in the case of AA in children and adolescents.

METHODOLOGY
This study was conducted after obtaining an approval from Ethics Committee with 52 patients with the age of ≤18 years chosen retrospectively from the case files of the patients admitted to the hospital between January 2013 to September 2013 in whom the definite diagnosis was confirmed with postoperative pathological examination. This group was called Test(T) group.

Exclusion criteria was the patients suffering from bleeding disorders, other genetic disorders, diabetes mellitus, active infections and other comorbidities.

The control(C) group of 51 patients with the age of ≤18 years were chosen from the children who came for the regular health checkups. The same exclusion criteria were applied here.

The blood sample collected from both Group T and Group C were anticoagulated using EDTA and processed within one hour after...
venipuncture. The Sysmex KX-21 and ABX Micros 60 systems were used for automated counts.

Data recorded from the groups included age, gender, platelet count, MPV. (Table 1) These data of both the groups were analyzed from SPSS for windows 13.0 software. The data of both groups were compared using mean± standard deviation. The quantitative data was analyzed by independent ‘t’ test. The alpha values value p<0.05 considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

In this study a total of 103 subjects were evaluated in two groups namely Group ‘T’ and Group ‘C’.

Table 1: Shows age distribution, platelet count and mean platelet volume in test and control group

| CASE GROUP ‘T’ | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| AGE | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| Platelet | 52 | 7 | 18 | 14.96 | 2.751 |
| MPV | 52 | 1.7000 | 4.2000 | 2.885577 | 0.6468427 |
| Valid N (list wise) | 52 | | | | |

| CONTROLE GROUP ‘C’ | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| AGE | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| Platelet | 51 | 6 | 18 | 13.57 | 3.145 |
| MPV | 51 | 2.1200 | 4.2000 | 3.037843 | 0.5409928 |
| Valid N (list wise) | 51 | | | | |

Group T consisted of 52 patients out of which 34 were males(65.4%) and 18 were females(34.6%). The mean age was 14.96±2.75 years. Whereas Group C consisted of 51patients out of which 36 were males(70.6%) and 15 were females(29.4%). The mean age was 13.57±3.145 years.

The mean platelet count in the case of Group T was 2.885±0.646 Lakhs/ml whereas in the case of Group C was 3.037±054 lakhs/ml. There was no statistically significant difference among the Group T & C with respect to platelet count(p=0.198>0.05).

Table 2: Shows significance of difference in mean platelet count and mean platelet volume between test and control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Platelet Count</td>
<td>0.198 &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Platelet Volume(MPV)</td>
<td>0.027 &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The MPV in the case of Group ‘T’ was 7.486±1.035fL whereas in the case of Group ‘C’ was 8.021±1.358fL. There was a statistically significant difference among the in terms of MPV(p=0.027<0.05)

DISCUSSION

Even though the AA presents with the classical symptomatology it is still considered among one of the difficult entities to diagnose. There are many diagnostic entities which are being studied extensively in relation to AA and others. One of such parameters is MPV.

MPV, a believed marker of inflammation is an entity which is being constantly evaluated and tried to be correlated with one or the other morbidities since twenty to thirty years. In this direction there are many studies which show the relationship between the MPV values and both inflammatory and non inflammatory conditions. The studies show that the MPV values are increased in acute pulmonary embolism, ischemic stroke patients, metabolic syndrome, myocardial infarction, sepsis, gastric cancer etc.[5-10] Some studies show that the MPV values will decrease in some inflammatory bowel diseases such as ulcerative colitis, in children with chronic spontaneous urticaria.[11,12] In the community acquired pneumonia in children there is biphasic alteration such as initial decrease and later increase in MPV values.[13] MPV is readily available along with the complete blood count and is an indicator of platelet function and activation.[14]
Usually as the platelet count decreases the platelet production increases, but the younger platelets become larger and more reactive and hence the MPV values will be higher.\(^{15,16}\)

Kisacik B et al. found the platelet volume to be low in active cases of ankylosing spondylitis and rheumatoid arthritis and that MPV values increased and normalized with treatment.\(^{17}\) In some studies it has been reported that MPV decrease in response to inflammation. For instance MPV has been reported to decrease in active period of ulcerative colitis.\(^{18}\) Makay et al. reported that MPV decreases during the attacks significantly when compared to control group in Familial Mediterranean Fever.\(^{19}\) Similarly in Akelma AZ et al. showed that a decline in MPV may be considered as an indicator of inflammation in children with chronic spontaneous urticaria.\(^{20}\) This condition is thought to have been related to the release of bioactive molecules from inflammatory active platelets at the time of inflammation. Danese S et al. speculated that the decrease in the MPV value could be because of the consumption and sequestration of large active platelets in the vascular segments of inflamed bowel.\(^{21}\)

In the present study the MPV values were found to be statistically lower in acute appendicitis group (Group ‘T’) when compared to control group (Group ‘C’); that is p value of 0.027(<0.05). This finding is similar to the results of the studies carried out from Albayrak Y et al. in adult patients of acute appendicitis and Bilici S et al. in children suffering from acute appendicitis.\(^{22}\) But the findings of BunyaminUyanic et al. in children with acute appendicitis differs from all the above studies including ours.\(^{23}\) In the studies of Albayrak Y et al., Bilici S et al. and BunyaminUyanic et al the MPV values were respectively 77.6 fL, 7.55 fL and 7.6 fL. The first two values agree closely with the current finding that is 7.48 fL; but the last one won’t agree as mentioned above because of its statistically insignificant p value. These findings of our study depict the lowered MPV values in the Group ‘T’. Even though the pathogenesis of the decrease in the MPV has not been fully explained, it seems that the mechanism behind it may be the consumption and sequestration of large active platelets in the vascular segments of the inflamed intestines.\(^{20}\)

CONCLUSION

Decrease in MPV aids in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in children and adolescents. If the clinician pays attention to the MPV (which is calculated routinely as a part of automated count) with other parameters and clinical examinations, the negative appendectomy rates in children and adolescents with suspected acute appendicitis may come down.
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