Estimation of neck shaft angle of dry radii for prosthetic replacement
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Abstract

Introduction: Radial head prosthesis is a valuable option in patients with radial head and neck fractures who require radial head replacement. Neck shaft angle (NSA) is an important morphometric measurement for stem design of radial head prosthesis in head and neck fractures to enable adequate movements of forearm following post operative management.

Aims and Objectives: a) To estimate neck shaft angle of proximal end of right and left radii.

b) To compare neck shaft angle of proximal end of right and left radii.

Materials and Method: Digital photographs of posterior surface of all 142(71 left & 71 right) radii were taken. Lines were drawn using Microsoft power point software. Image was saved as a picture and opened with Image J analyser which measured neck shaft angle. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS.

Results: The mean neck shaft angle was 168.01±3.65°. Right side was 165.67±4.44° and left side was 168.01±3.65°. There was significant difference between left and right side values of neck shaft angle.

Conclusion: Mean values of neck shaft angle were comparable with previous studies. Side difference should be considered while implanting prosthesis on affected side.
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Introduction

Radial head and neck fractures represent approximately 1.7% to 5.4% of all fractures. (1) Radial head fractures account for approximately one third of all elbow fractures and are involved in approximately 20% of elbow trauma cases. (2) Surgical options include radial head resection with or without prosthetic replacement with less severe injuries to be treated by internal fixation. (3-5) Neck shaft angle (NSA) is an angle made by neck with shaft/diaphysis of radius bone. It indicates amount of bending of shaft with respect to proximal radius which in turn determines wrist biomechanics. It limits movements at proximal radioulnar joint during pronation and supination. Various authors have described neck shaft angle for radius but mostly in western population. (6-8) To avail maximum angle of movements in radio capitular joint surface a clear idea of shaft to neck angle is necessary. Furthermore prosthesis that are available today are fixed stem to neck angle which warrants for proper alignment of radial read to its shaft through bending in neck. (6) Proper fixation will also reduce postoperative complications along with adequate rehabilitation to routine activities of patients as well as to his occupation.

The purpose of the present study was to measure neck shaft angle with regard to stem replacement of radial head prosthesis. Paucity of Indian data was another reason for this particular study.

Materials and Method

142 adult dry cadaveric radii (71 right and 71 left) were selected from the collection of bones from department of Anatomy, St John’s Medical College, Bangalore. Healthy radii with no obvious damage were chosen for study.

Sample size was calculated using N Master software. Mean and standard deviation values of neck shaft angle from previous literature was entered in N master software which gave appropriate sample size.

Digital photographs of posterior surface of all 142(71 left & 71 right) radii were taken. Angle was measured using Image J analyser. The radii were placed on osteometric board in such a way that its posterior surface was pointing upwards. A digital camera was fixed to stand of osteometric board for proper focus and centered along with bone on the board.

Fig. 1: Method of taking photo for neck shaft angle
Image Analysis:
Using Microsoft Power Point the following lines were drawn
- First line (AB) drawn along proximal neck of radius.
- Second line (CD) drawn along distal neck of radius.
- Third line (EF) drawn along shaft of radius 8cm from head of radius.
- Fourth line drawn from midpoint of AB to midpoint of CD.
- Fifth line drawn from midpoint of CD to midpoint of EF.
- This image was saved as a picture and opened with Image J analyser.
- The angle between the fourth and fifth line gave the neck shaft angle.

Statistics: Statistical analysis was done using SPSS.

Results
The mean neck shaft angle was 168.01±3.65°. Right side was 165.67±4.44° and left side was 168.01±3.65°. Neck shaft angle was more on left side than right side. There was significant difference between left and right side values of neck shaft angle (p<0.05). Test of significance used was independent sample T test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Right side Mean ± SD(°)</th>
<th>Left side Mean ± SD(°)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>165.67±4.44</td>
<td>168.01±3.65</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of neck shaft angle with previous studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Neck shaft angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Koslowsky (2007)</td>
<td>X Rays</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>167.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van riet (2004)</td>
<td>cadavers</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captier (2002)</td>
<td>Dry bone</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Puchwein (2013)</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>Dry bone</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>166.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion
In study done by Koslowsky et al., on radii by studying X ray imprints mean neck shaft angle was 167.8°.(6) This value is comparable with our study showing that neck shaft angle did not vary much between methodologies i.e., between manual method and digital images.

In the study done by Captier et al., the angle between the neck and the diaphysis varied with regard to the shape of the radial head. It was 166.75 ±3 for the circular heads and 168.62 ±3.2 for the elliptical heads (P<0.01).(7) They concluded that biomechanics of the circular shape and the elliptical shape are different, involving an adaptation of the angle between the neck and the radial diaphysis.

Paul Puchwein et al., did computed tomography scans of 30 cadaveric elbows and 3-dimensional reconstructions were used to analyze the morphometry of the proximal radius.(8) The mean neck shaft angle was 160° (153°-165°) which was comparable with our study. This shows that there is no significant difference between measurements done on dry bone and CT scans which in turn implies that measurements done on CT scan can be used for prosthetic reconstruction.

Most of the previous studies had values similar to the present study which showed that there was not much difference between values of neck shaft angle when ethnicity was concerned. There was significant side difference in values of neck shaft angle which can be attributed to handedness of individual which was not considered here. Due to probable excessive wear and tear during movements of elbow, right side had lesser angle when compared to left side. Further it would be appropriate to consider occupational factors while deciding implantation of prosthesis.

Limitation of this study is that the study was done on dry bones as values cannot be directly measured on live radii. Moreover it would be worthwhile measuring neck shaft angle in all possible movements of elbow for proper design of stem of radial prosthesis.

Conclusion
Mean values of neck shaft angle were comparable with previous studies. Side difference should be considered while implanting prosthesis on affected side.
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