Prevalence of different forms of neuropathies in type 2 diabetes patients attending a tertiary care hospital in Central Gujarat: A hospital based cross sectional study
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Abstract
Introduction: Diabetic neuropathy (DN) is commonest forms of complications observed in type 2 diabetes patients. Due to variations in clinical and electrophysiological diagnostic criteria for polyneuropathies, prevalence of diabetic neuropathy varies in different studies. We used only (Electro diagnostic) EDX criteria for diagnosis of neuropathies in present study.
Aim and Objectives: To estimate prevalence of diabetic neuropathy; viz, mononeuropathy, polyneuropathy and mononeuropathy with coexistent polyneuropathy in population of subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus.
Materials and Methods: It was a cross sectional study where total 53 diabetic subjects (30 male, 23 female) in age range 30-60 years were electrophysiologically evaluated for neuropathies during January 2013 to December 2015. Routine and specialized Nerve conduction studies were adopted for evaluation. Data was acquired and stored in Microsoft excel and analyzed using graph pad prism software.
Results: Overall prevalence of diabetic neuropathy (DN) was 54.71%. Prevalence of other forms viz. Polyneuropathy only, mononeuropathy only and mononeuropathy with coexistent polyneuropathy were 30.18%, 16.98% and 7.54% respectively. Median neuropathy at wrist was the only form of mononeuropathy observed in these cases. Severity of mononeuropathy was observed more in diabetes without polyneuropathy cases.
Conclusion: Prevalence of different forms of neuropathies observed in present study is similar to previous studies. We observed more severe mononeuropathy in diabetes without polyneuropathy cases. Palm wrist comparison study must be part EDX evaluation in these cases.
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Introduction
Diabetic neuropathy (DN) is one of the commonest forms of complications observed in diabetic patients.¹ In a prospective study by Pirart J et al, incidence of diabetic neuropathy was more than 50% after 25 years of diagnosis.² In a cross-sectional multicenter study of 6,487 diabetic patients in the U.K., the prevalence of DN increased with age and reached 44.2% in individuals between 70 and 79 years of age.³ DN is often insidious in onset and may result in sensory loss, predisposing to callus formation that can fissure, become infected, and may precipitate plantar ulceration.⁴ DN is significantly associated with morbidity including recurrent foot ulcers, infection and its amputation. Early diagnosis of DN can decrease patient morbidity by allowing for potential therapeutic interventions, including patient education and regular foot surveillance. However, there is no single diagnostic test for the detection of DN.⁵

Thus, variations in the prevalence of DN have been attributed to variation in age group of subjects, age of diabetes, glycaemic control, and most importantly the different criteria used to form its diagnosis. Some studies adopted only clinical and neurological examination whereas others have used clinical neurological examination plus electrodiagnostic studies (EDX). Among EDX criteria also, few studies included autonomic neuropathy (AN) along with abnormalities in two or more nerves. Few studies also included mononeuropathies like median, ulnar or peroneal neuropathies that are often reported in diabetic patients. With above background present study is aimed at estimating prevalence of various forms of neuropathies like mononeuropathy, polyneuropathy, mononeuropathy with coexistent polyneuropathy in diabetic population in a rural teaching hospital in central India.

Materials and Methods
It was a Cross sectional study conducted during January 2013 to December 2015 in Neurophysiology Laboratory in the department of Physiology.
Selection of cases: Cases were selected from patient attending Medicine OPD, with supportive inclusion and exclusion criteria under supervision of Consultant Physician. Inclusion criteria consisted of diabetic patients with age ranging from 30 to 60 years. It included type II diabetic patients diagnosed by physician after appropriate clinical and minimum supportive laboratory investigation with or without clinical evidence of mono or polyneuropathy. We excluded patients with age group less than 30 and more than 60 years, with obesity, pregnancy and alcoholism. We also excluded patients with systemic illness like hypothyroidism, tuberculosis,
and trauma. Vascular diseases, neuromuscular disorders, treated cases of Carpel tunnel syndrome patients were also excluded from study. Patients were informed about the study and a written consent was taken thereafter. Approval from institutional ethics board was taken.

**Electrodiagnostic study (EDX):** After screening, patients underwent EDX that comprised of median and ulnar motor and sensory conductions, median and ulnar F wave study bilaterally. Standard techniques were followed to perform the EDX. Belly tendon montage was used for placement of recording electrodes in case of motor study and supramaximal stimulated to obtain CMAPs (compound muscle action potential). Antidromic sensory conductions were done for median and ulnar nerves. F wave were obtained in same motor study settings and ten records were obtained for analysis. A palm-wrist mixed comparison study was also done to explore median neuropathy at wrist.

**Electrodiagnosis of Neuropathy:** “Diabetic Polyneuropathy (DPN)” was diagnosed if the sensory and/or motor nerve conduction studies were abnormal for both median and ulnar nerves with a normal palm-wrist comparison tests. “Median or ulnar mononeuropathy with coexistent polyneuropathy” was diagnosed if palm-wrist comparison study revealed latency difference >0.4ms along with median and ulnar motor and/or sensory nerve conduction study (NCS) abnormalities. “Diabetic mononeuropathy (DMN)” was diagnosed if only palm-wrist comparison was abnormal with normal routine conductions. For all technical and electrodiagnostic criteria we referred normative values from DC Preston and BE Shapiro’s book “electromyography and neuromuscular disorders”. 6

**Statistical Analysis**

Analysis was done using Graph Pad prism software designed by GraphPad software Inc California USA under the guidance of statistician.

**Results**

Table 1 shows distribution of diabetic population. Study comprises of total 53 patients (30 male and 23 female) in the age range 31 to 60 with mean age 51.52 and SD 7.68. 65% patients were in the age range 51-60 years whereas remaining 35% were in the age range 31-50 years.

Table 1: Age and Gender wise distribution of Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age in years</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total number of subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>5(16.66)</td>
<td>3(13.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>4(13.33)</td>
<td>7(30.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>21(70.00)</td>
<td>13(56.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30(56.60)</td>
<td>23(43.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>51.52±7.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: values in parenthesis indicate percentage, SD= standard deviation)

Fig. 1 shows distribution of various neuropathies in diabetic population. Out of 53 patients 29 (54.71%) had one or other form of neuropathy. 9 patients (16.98%) had purely median mononeuropathy at wrist. Other mononeuropathy in upper limb like ulnar neuropathy at wrist or elbow or radial neuropathy at spiral groove were not seen. Purely diabetic polynueuropathy without any evidence of ulnar or median mononeuropathy was observed in 16 out of 53 patients (30.18%) and diabetic mononeuropathy with coexistent polyneuropathy was observed in 4 patients (7.54%).

(Note: DPN= diabetic polynueuropathy)

**Fig. 1: Prevalence of DPN only, DPN with coexistent mononeuropathy and Mononeuropathy only in study population**

Table 2 Shows distribution of mild, mild to moderate, moderate and severe forms of mononeuropathy in diabetic population with and without polyneuropathy. Standard Criteria to classify severity of
different forms of mononeuropathy were used from Shapiro et al.6
1. Mild: Median Vs. Ulnar latency difference > 0.4ms with normal routine conduction.
2. Mild to moderate: Prolonged sensory and motor latencies of median nerve.
3. Moderate: prolonged latencies, reduced amplitudes and CV (conduction velocities).
4. Severe: severely reduced or absent amplitude and CV.

Table 2 shows that mild median mononeuropathy was common among diabetic without polyneuropathy and severe median mononeuropathy was common among diabetic with polyneuropathy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Diabetic with PN (n=20)</th>
<th>Diabetic without PN (n=33)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>1(5)</td>
<td>5(15.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild to Moderate</td>
<td>1(5)</td>
<td>1(3.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>2(6.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>2(10)</td>
<td>1(3.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4(20)</td>
<td>9(27.27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: Values in parenthesis indicate percentage, PN= Polyneuropathy)

Discussion

In present study, we observed that out of 53 diabetic subjects studied, 16 (30.18%) were found to have only polyneuropathy, 4 (7.54%) were having mononeuropathy with coexistent polyneuropathy and only mononeuropathy was observed in 9 (16.98%) subjects. This suggests that mononeuropathy may or may not be associated with polyneuropathy in these subjects; rather it is more prevalent alone among these subjects.

Our observation is in accordance with findings by Perkins BA et al who observed 30% prevalence of Carpel tunnel syndrome (CTS) in diabetic subjects with polyneuropathy.7 Similar was the observation by Solomon D et al, Chammas M et al.5,9 Comi G et al found prevalence of diabetic polyneuropathy as 41.8%.10 Stamboulis E et al found that CTS being correlated with diabetic polyneuropathy,11 Thomas P K et al reported 5-60% prevalence of diabetic polyneuropathy,12 Chammas M et al and Gamstedt A et al documented prevalence of CTS in diabetes being 15-25%.9,13 Oge A et al recorded the prevalence of CTS in patients with DPN as 27.8%.14 Vogt T et al reported that risk of developing CTS increases in patients with additional PNP, especially in diabetics.15 They also documented that several studies showed that CTS and DPN are commonly seen together in diabetic patients. DPN is found to be a major risk factor for developing CTS. Several pathogenic mechanisms are suggested for the development of CTS in diabetics. An increased glycosylation of proteins induces structural changes of the tissues. It is hypothesized that the median nerve is made more susceptible to the pressure effects existing in the carpal tunnel when underlying DPN, a length dependent axonopathy, is present. The anatomy of the carpal tunnel may produce local vascular compromise, which is superimposed on the metabolically disordered nerve or a nerve with established endoneural ischemia, leading to frequent dysfunction in this short segment. This combination of insults may result in impaired axonal transport, producing local pathology and retrograde nerve dysfunction.

Oge A et al suggested that it will be useful to perform electrophysiologic study in diabetic patients in whom CTS is suspected, not only at the wrist but in all four limbs to evaluate diabetic polyneuropathy.14 Perkins BA et al reported that polyneuropathy (PNP) and CTS are quite common in patients with diabetes mellitus.7 It is reported that the prevalence of CTS in patients with diabetic PNP is higher than in the general population and the risk of CTS is 2.2 times higher in diabetic women than in nondiabetic women and 2.5 times higher in diabetic than in nondiabetic men.

Conclusion

Present study concluded that, overall prevalence of diabetic neuropathy (DN) was 54.71%. Prevalence of other forms viz. Polyneuropathy only, mononeuropathy only and mononeuropathy with coexistent polyneuropathy are 30.18%, 16.98%, and 7.54% respectively. Median neuropathy at wrist was the only form of mononeuropathy observed in these cases. Severity of mononeuropathy was observed more in diabetes without polyneuropathy cases. Therefore we suggest, while evaluating a diabetic subject for polyneuropathy, palm wrist comparison test should be added to diagnose mononeuropathy at wrist also.
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