Cystatin C, oxidative stress and body fat distribution in the middle aged individuals with coronary artery disease
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Abstract
Introduction: Oxidative stress plays an important role in the progression of atherosclerosis, it is the principal cause for coronary artery disease (CAD). Lipid peroxidation product Malondialdehyde (MDA) is an index of oxidative stress. To assess the oxidative stress, MDA and total antioxidant capacity (TAC) are estimated. Body fat distribution was assessed by obesity and blood lipids, which in turn was measured by Body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference (WC). Cystatin C was measured as an emerging cardio vascular risk factor.

Materials and Methods: Study consists of two groups, 145 subjects diagnosed with CAD are included in study group and 66 age and sex matched subjects (non CAD) are included in control group.

Results: In this study, Cystatin C is highly significant observed in CAD cases than controls. BMI and WC are not significantly increased; whereas significant increase in mean MDA and decrease in mean TAC levels.

Conclusion: Oxidative stress is markedly observed in CAD cases, due to toxic effects of ROS. Increase in Cystatin C levels significantly correlated with WC in middle aged individuals.
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Introduction
CAD is major health burden worldwide and is the leading cause of death. It accounts one third of all deaths by 2020, about 45 million patients will be affected with CAD in India. Atherosclerosis is fundamental cause of CAD in which there is an insufficient delivery of oxygen and blood to myocardium.4

Antioxidant status is a significant tool in analyzing the redox status, and is defined as the balance among oxidants and antioxidants.2,3 Oxidative stress caused due to destruction of antioxidant and pro-oxidant systems equilibrium by excess production of free radicals and decreased amounts of antioxidants.4 Free radical are highly reactive, due to one or more unpaired electrons in its orbits.5-7 The oxidants produced by cells and eosinophils, neutrophils, macrophages, tissue damage, and ischemia-reperfusion injury accounts the endogenous production.8,9 Exogenous production of radicals accounts, the ionizing, smoking, radiation, and hyperoxic environments.2,10,11 Reactive species are reactive nitrogen species and reactive oxygen species (ROS).12

Oxidative stress along with traditional risk factors, are important contributors for atherosclerosis progression and is precipitated by increased lipid peroxidation.13-15 Both oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation are implicated in the pathogenesis of early and later stages of atherosclerosis.16-19 Antioxidants can protect against free radical defense.20,21 Augmented oxidative stress leads to impairment of endothelial function in the individuals with atherosclerosis in predicting the risk for CAD.22

Cystatin C initially was used in assessing renal function. It is an inhibitor of cysteine proteases formed in all nucleated cells freely filtered in the renal glomeruli, is reabsorbed and metabolized in the proximal tubule. It also serves as a prognostic marker of CAD which is associated with adverse cardiovascular events in relation to hemodynamic stress, also matches with plasma levels of soluble corin and proenkephalin.23,24

Materials and Methods
The cross-sectional comparative study was conducted at Mamata Medical College and General Hospital, Khammam, Telangana, India, with the patients attending outpatient wards of cardiology and general medicine departments of hospital and local cardiac centers were included in this study. The study was approved by the institutional ethical committee. Subjects were recruited into the study randomly after obtaining the informed consent form. The individuals were separated into two group, individuals with diagnosed with CAD based on clinical and biochemical parameters like ECG, TMT and Cardiac Biomarkers (myocardial enzymes and troponin) were considered as cases (N=145) and Age and sex matched individuals without CAD recruited as control (N=66).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria: Subjects in the age group of 30-50 years. Subjects with risk factors DM, HTN and smoking were included. Alcoholics and
Subjects with past history of CAD and Subjects on antioxidant supplementation were excluded.

**Methods:** Antioxidant status was evaluated by estimating the levels of MDA and TAC. MDA was measured by estimating TBARS and TAC measured as FRAP. Lipid profile was estimated using Erba XL 350 fully automated analyzer, Cystatin C estimated by Immuno-turbidimetry. BMI was calculated from body weight in kilograms divided by the square of the body height in meters (kg/m²). WC is measured at midpoint between the lower margin of last palpable rib and top of iliac crest.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was done using the software SPSS-17.0 version. t-test was done to compare the mean values. ROC analysis was done to determine the cutoff values. Pearson correlation was done to know the relation between the parameters. The results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). P< 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

In our study, we found Cystatin C values showed significant increase in cases compared to controls. Cystatin C marker for atherosclerosis shows its significant role in CAD. Whereas TAC and MDA value shown a significant difference, on comparison of controls with cases. The decrease in TAC and increase in MDA levels shows the rise of oxidative stress among the CAD, and the lipid parameters like total cholesterol, triglycerides and LDL-C also showed significant difference on comparison of controls with cases, but not with HDL-C. Hence lipid profile helps in assessing the risk of CAD. The anthropometric parameters like BMI and WC has not shown any significant difference, which explains they have no role in assessing the risk for CAD (Table 1).

In the ROC analysis we found TAC and HDL having more area under curve (0.834, 0.734) with cutoff values of 0.74 and 41.0 respectively, which plays a role in diagnosing the risk for CAD. Whereas Cystatin C, MDA, Total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL-C, BMI and WC has not shown any significant difference (<0.500) (Table 2, Fig. 1).

Pearson correlation was done between oxidative stress markers, with Cystatin C, lipid profile and anthropometric parameters, and we found to more significant with all the parameters except with BMI, which says that oxidative stress plays a significant role in atherosclerosis and CAD (Table 3).

### Table 1: Comparison of different parameters between control and CAD cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Groups</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cystatin C (mg/lit)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC (μmol/lit)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA (u.mol/ml)</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-Chol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>162.5</td>
<td>201.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>122.2</td>
<td>162.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>43.18</td>
<td>39.01</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg/dl)</td>
<td>95.17</td>
<td>129.74</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.36</td>
<td>24.15</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>87.89</td>
<td>93.23</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: values expressed as mean±SD, p value with <0.05 considered as significant

### Table 2: ROC values of different parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test result variable(s)</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Area Under Curve</th>
<th>Asymptotic 95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Cut-off Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Bound</td>
<td>Upper bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystatin C</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-Chol</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>0.804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.378</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Correlation between oxidative stress markers, with Cystatin C, lipid profile and anthropometric parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cystatin C</th>
<th>T-Chol</th>
<th>TG</th>
<th>HDL</th>
<th>LDL</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>WC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cystatin C</td>
<td>R.Values</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.171*</td>
<td>0.242**</td>
<td>-0.279**</td>
<td>0.158*</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC</td>
<td>R.Values</td>
<td>-0.282**</td>
<td>-0.370**</td>
<td>-0.338**</td>
<td>0.238**</td>
<td>-0.366**</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA</td>
<td>R.Values</td>
<td>0.425**</td>
<td>0.353**</td>
<td>0.395**</td>
<td>-0.278**</td>
<td>0.354**</td>
<td>0.164*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Pearson correlation was done
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.

Fig. 1: ROC graphical analysis

Discussion

Increased MDA and decreased TAC levels in CAD cases explain the role of oxidative stress, which causes oxidative modification of LDL in the subintimal layer by ROS, aroused by risk factors of atherosclerosis. ROS from endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells (SMCs) and also adventitial cells, are responsible in triggering, proliferating, and migration of SMCs; oxidation of lipids; activation of metalloproteinases which are the events of atherosclerosis. The ROC analysis for TAC has shown more area under curve and pearson correlation between TAC, MDA with lipid profile, WC was highly significant, which shows the relation between oxidative stress to atherogenesis. The results were in similar with the, Murat Aydin et al and Ali Movahed et al. The presence of risk factors provokes, increase in MDA and decrease TAC levels. were observed in Similar results were reported by M gupta et al, N Patil et al. Increased ROS levels have toxic effect on tissues through augmented oxidation of bio-molecules and play a major role in the improvement and development of CAD. The study shows rise in the levels Cystatin C among the cases than controls, ROC analysis also shown more area under curve. Pearson correlation for Cystatin C with oxidative stress markers, lipid profile and WC are significant, which shows its role in atherosclerosis and CAD. ROS contribute to arterial oxidative stress, inflammation, and endothelial dysfunction, which have a very important role in atherogenesis and heart failure. Studies of, Xie L et al, 2010 colleagues, H2O2 induction causes elevation of cystatin C in the conditioned medium from cardiomyocytes. Thus, the authors suggested that cystatin C plays a role in cardiac extracellular matrix remodeling. Loew M et al, 2005 reported only high plasma cystatin C levels were associated with cardiovascular events during the follow-up. Recent meta-analysis by Lee M et al, 2010, higher cystatin C levels were strongly and independently associated with CAD.
Magnusson 2013 et al, showed that abdominal obesity is significantly associated with increased baseline levels of Cystatin C. Another study illustrated a stronger correlation between Cystatin C and WC compared to BMI.\(^{36,37}\) Moreover, cystatin C was well expressed in human adipose tissue, subcutaneous and omental fat, says that cystatin C may have a role in inflammation and controlling adipose tissue mass by inhibiting cathepsins. Hence serum Cystatin C causes stability of plaques which benefits the individuals with normal kidney function. Cystatin C in certain range, is associated with an increased burden for plaque development.\(^{38}\)

Conclusion

Oxidative stress due to ROS, leads to lipid peroxidation and decreased TAC which is influenced by various risk factors, was observed in CAD cases. Cystatin C levels are positively correlated with WC, and can be the marker to assess the risk of CAD in the middle aged individuals.
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