Granulomatous inflammation in lymph nodes draining cancer: significant association or just coincidence- Diagnostic dilemma
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Abstract
Causes of granulomatous inflammation are broadly divided into infective and non infective. Infective causes includes mycobacterial, fungal or parasitic origin. Non infective includes: Foreign body granuloma, sarcoidosis, crohn’s disease. Granuloma formation seen in cancer patients may be due to previous chemotherapy or radiation therapy. However in many cases mechanism in granuloma formation and its therapeutic outcome in cancer patients remains unknown. Careful scrutiny in all cases is required where coexisting malignancy was suspected along with granuloma formation in lymph nodes draining cancers. Exact cause of granuloma formation in lymph nodes draining cancer remains unknown however immunological reaction to tumour antigens is proposed theory .These granuloma may represent impending metastasis or already existing metastasis in patients who received neoadjuvant chemoradiation.
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Introduction
Granulomatous inflammation is defined as a chronic inflammatory reaction characterized by microscopic aggregation of activated macrophages with epitheloid appearance.¹ These may or may not be associated with mononuclear cells, multinulate giant cells and necrosis.

Diagnosing granuloma on routine H and E staining is usually straightforward. However to look for causes of granulomatous inflammation requires further evaluation tests. Causes of granulomatous inflammation are broadly divided into infective and non infective. Granulomas can be necrotizing or non necrotizing.

Infective: Includes mycobacterial, fungal or parasitic origin. Non infective includes: Foreign body granuloma, sarcoidosis, crohn’s disease etc.

Apart from these common causes granuloma formation may be seen in neoplastic disorders. These include as a part of primary cancer or granuloma in draining lymph nodes of various cancers. This granuloma may arise due to preexisting infective illness like mycobacterial or others or due to immunosuppression in cancer patients leading to infection.

In developing country like India tuberculous etiology should be considered or ruled out before considering other causes.

Granuloma formation seen in cancer patients may be due to previous chemotheraphy or radiation therapy. However in many cases mechanism in granuloma formation and its therapeutic outcome in cancer patients remain unknown.

It has been observed in many malignancies e.g. breast carcinoma, gastric, colonic and laryngeal cancer².

Materials and Methods
Present study was carried out in tertiary care cancer hospital. All cases of Granuloma formation seen in draining lymph nodes or in primary tumor were included in study. Lymph node dissection done as a part of management of cancer patients in all cases were studied .Common surgeries included neck dissection performed for head neck cancers. Study included all consecutive surgeries performed in cancer patients during one and half year. However known diagnosed cases of tuberculosis with cancer were excluded. After gross examination to look for obvious caseation; sections were processed as a routine processing and studied with H and E staining.

Z. N. staining for mycobacteria was carried as a routine in all cases showing granuloma formation.

Detailed history regarding past history of TB, chemotherapy or radiation received by patients was recorded. Granuloma formation particularly caseating granuloma were informed to treating clinician apart from mentioning it in pathology report. Careful scrutiny and Deep cut sections were studied in all cases where coexisting malignancy was suspected along with granuloma formation to avoid under diagnosis of metastatic disease. Since tissue were formalin fixed primarily to diagnose cancer, ancillary techniques like Gene expert which requires fresh tissue in normal saline were not carried out to confirm Tuberculous etiology of granuloma. However TB-PCR study was carried out in one case.

Observation and Results
Of all consecutive lymph node dissection done for cancer patients during one half year, 27 cases showed granulomatous inflammation in lymph nodes.
Of these cases 16 cases were of neck dissection performed for head and neck cancer.

Squamous cell carcinoma was most common malignancy seen in association with granulomatous inflammation in lymph nodes. This finding maybe attributed to common head neck surgeries performed in our institute. In five cases, granulomas were associated with necrosis i.e. necrotizing granulomatous inflammation. In other twenty two cases granulomas were non caseating granuloma.

Z.N. stain for detection of mycobacteria carried out in all cases was negative for Acid Fast Bacilli. TBPCR done in one case showed negative result.

No obvious fungal or parasitic elements were seen on routine H and E staining. In three cases surgery was done post neoadjuvant chemotherapy of these two case showed only granuloma formation with no residual viable tumour.

Among five cases of breast lump one case was of benign phylloides tumour with axillary nodes showing granuloma formation.

Also one case of diffuse large B cell Lymphoma post 6 cycles of chemotherapy showed granuloma formation in follow up biopsy.

Of these all cases total two cases showed presence of granuloma and metastatic disease in lymph nodes simultaneously.

**Summary of patients with granulomatous reaction: Data presented as number**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients in whom zielh neelsen stain done</td>
<td>All twenty seven cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients in whom zielh neelsen stain positive</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients in whom tb-pcr done</td>
<td>One patient [Negative result]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoadjuvant chemo/radiation received</td>
<td>Two cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only granulomatous reaction with no residual viable tumour</td>
<td>Two cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases with simultaneous granuloma and sconderies in lymph nodes</td>
<td>Two cases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

like granuloma—composed of epitheloid cells and lymphocytes.

Fig. 1: Necrotic granulomatous inflammation with giant cells

Fig. 2: Granuloma with fibrinoid necrosis and amyloid like deposition

Fig. 3: Keratin granuloma with secondaries of squamous cell carcinoma

Fig. 4: Scanner view of Confluent non caseating granuloma

Discussion
Possible causes of granulomatous inflammation in cancer draining lymph nodes includes:

1. Keratin granulomas in squamous cell carcinoma.
2. Sarcoid like reaction to tumor antigens.
3. Therapy related granuloma formation. (chemoradiation)
4. Associated systemic illness like tuberculosis.

Although Negative Z. N. stain does not rule out tuberculosis, prior history, clinical and radiological findings are helpful. There is possibility of potentially exacerbating tuberculosis illness due to chemotherapy and immune suppression further ancillary studies are recommended to rule out tuberculosis illness even if z.n. stain is negative.

Careful scrutiny and Deep cut sections were studied in all cases where coexisting malignancy was suspected along with granuloma formation to avoid under diagnosis of metastatic disease. this is particularly important since in study carried out by Coyne et al showed presence of microinvasive breast carcinoma with granulomatous inflammation which required close scrutiny since invasive component measuring <1mm. This is particularly important to avoid consequences of missed/underdiagnosis malignancies in patients with granuloma and eventual outcome of patient. In our study case of Ca esophagus showed only small microscopic focus of residual tumor post NACT along with granuloma formation.

A careful search under the microscope may reveal the presence of malignant cells. However, sometimes it may be difficult to identify the tumour cells in these nodes with haematoxylin and eosin stain alone and immunohistochemistry is required(Syrjanen, 1981). Therefore apart from evaluating for causes of granuloma IHCH studies done for malignancy cases is also required. In our study case of Lymphoma with granulomatous reaction IHC study was suggested.

Granuloma-associated conditions constitute a very heterogeneous group of diseases it is not possible to suggest a standard set of investigations which will, eventually, lead to the correct diagnosis. However, a number of possibilities should be considered in a systematic way. Firstly, anamnestic information on sarcoidosis and granuloma associated Diseases should be obtained. As regards sarcoidosis, not all patients are aware of this diagnosis, and it may be necessary to review patient records as well as chest x-rays from previous hospital admissions. Secondly, a thorough clinical examination should focus on findings relevant to granuloma-associated disease. Thirdly, traditional histomorphological evaluation of granulomatous lesions Biopsy materials should be supplemented with immunohistological studies.5

Present study showed one case of benign phylloides tumour with granuloma formation in axillary lymph nodes, similar findings were seen in case reported by Prem Singh et al6 however in their study Z. N. stain revealed presence of Acid fast Bacilli.

Among squamous malignancies showing granuloma formation most common malignancy site...
was oral cavity followed by larynx, Uterine cervix, this finding was in concordance with study carried out by HOMA SAFAI17 et al in which they studied keratin granuloma in irradiated squamous cell carcinoma. Knowledge of these granuloma is essential particularly for primary upfront diagnosis done on biopsy or FNAC, and to rule out focus of malignancy. Presence of these granuloma without obvious malignancy warrants further evaluation including repeat biopsy as these specimens may misdiagnose cancer as TB with false negative results.8

Comparison of findings of Z.N. stain among different studies

| 1. Chabra et al9 | Three out of seven cases showed ZN positive for acid fast bacilli |
| 2. PremSingh et al6 | One case showed ZN positivity for acid fast bacilli. |
| 3. Bushra Siddiqui et al10 | One case showed ZN negativity. |
| 4. Minas Khurram et al 11 | All twenty two cases were Z.N. negative. |
| 5. Present study | All twenty seven cases were Z.N. negative. |

So findings of our study is similar to observations of Minas Khurram et al11 which also showed ZN stain negativity in all cases studied. However their study recommended PCR Based assays for diagnosis of TB, which was carried out in only case in present study and revealed negative result.

This is only study to best of our knowledge which included all spectrums of malignancies i.e. carcinoma, lymphoma, paranganglioma, phyllodes tumour were included with granuloma formation.

Conclusion

Granulomatous inflammation in draining lymph nodes for cancer patients is not uncommon finding.

Close scrutiny and further evaluation of these patients is necessary to rule out tuberculosis etiology particularly in developing countries where TB is rampant. However still interpretation of granulomatous inflammation with neoplasia remains difficult. Even when all relevant information is obtained, the interpretation of granulomatous lesions in neoplasia will remain difficult. Thus, it will quite often be necessary to combine traditional methods of clinical evaluation and immunohistological studies with prolonged follow-up in order to establish the true nature of granuloma-associated condition. So it is emphasized that if histopathology examination reveals granuloma formation it require evaluation not only for cause of granuloma but also for concomitant malignancy like Immunohistochemistry study to avoid false negative results.

However diagnosis, prognosis, management of these cancer patients with granulomatous reaction requires further research.
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