A clinicomyecological study of dermatophytic infection in a tertiary care hospital
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Abstract
Introduction: Dermatophytosis is a mycotic infection of the hair, skin or nails. Although dermatophytic infection doesn’t cause mortality, it can lead to morbidity and result in a major public health issue usually in tropical countries like India, because of the supporting climatic conditions like high temperature and air moisture. The present study was undertaken to know the clinical status of dermatophytic infection and to isolate and identify the species commonly seen in our area.

Materials and Methods: A total of 166 clinically suspected cases of dermatophytosis sent for department of Microbiology were studied over a period of one year. KOH for microscopy and culture on Sabouraud's dextrose agar slopes was done. Species identification was done by studying growth morphology, slide culture and biochemical tests like urease test.

Results: Among 166 patients, the dermatophytosis was more common among the age group of 21-30 years. Males (55.5%) were more affected than females (44.5%). The most common clinical type was tinea corporis (36.2%) followed by tinea unguium (10.3%). Out of 166 patients, 125 cases (75.3%) were positive for fungi by KOH and 118 cases (71.1%) showed growth in culture. Trichophyton spp are predominantly isolated (88%).

Conclusion: The prevalence of dermatophytosis usually differ with respect to various geographical locations. India due to high humidity and temperature acts as a rich ground for the heavy growth of dermatophytes. Idea regarding the fungal infections prevalent in the particular region is important to know the burden of infection and its course of infection. This helps to plan for the proper infrastructure required for various epidemiological and laboratory studies, and further to evaluate interventions required for treatment.

Keywords: Dermatophytosis, Trichophyton, Tinea.

Introduction
The dermatophytes are among the commonest infectious agents of man. Dermatophytosis is a mycotic infection of the hair, skin or nails. Although dermatophytic infection doesn’t cause mortality, it can lead to morbidity and result in a major public health issue usually in tropical countries like India, because of the supporting climatic conditions like high temperature and air moisture. Overall calculated lifetime risk for acquiring a dermatophyte infection is around 10 to 20 percent.1

Dermatophytes usually do not affect the mucus membranes instead affects the keratinized tissues of the body. The infection is acquired due to direct contact with an infected individual (anthropophilic organisms), or contact with animals (zoophilic organisms) or soil exposure (geophilic organisms) or by formites in indirect way. Although, the clinical signs of dermatophytosis differs according to the area of the body involved, itching is the most common symptom in humans.2 Dermatophytes consist of three genera Trichophyton, Microsporum and Epidermophyton.3 Trichophyton rubrum is the most common cause of tinea corporis, tinea pedis, tinea unguium, tinea cruris, tinea manuum and tinea faciae worldwide. Other frequently isolated agents are Trichophyton mentagrophytes, Microsporum canis, Microsporum gypseum and Epidermophyton floccosum.4

Though, there are many studies available from across India and world, there is very little data of dermatophytosis from our region. The present study was carried out to find out the clinical & mycological pattern of fungi causing dermatophytosis in our tertiary care hospital.

Materials and Methods
166 clinically diagnosed cases of dermatophytosis sent to department of microbiology was studied for a period of one year. A detailed clinical history including age, sex, occupation, socio-economic status, distribution of lesion and duration of illness and any associated risk factors contributing for the illness was elicited from the patients. Verbal consent was taken from all the patients. Patients previously treated or on treatment were excluded from the study.

The affected area is first rubbed with 70% alcohol to remove any skin contaminants. Clean white paper packet was used to collect the samples taken like skin scrapings or nail clippings or plucked hair. Skin scrapings were collected by scraping alone the inflammatory margin of the lesion including the healthy skin by the use of sterile scalpel or a clean glass slide. Nail specimen was collected by taking the infected nail specimen was collected by taking the infected nail tissue. Hair specimen was collected by taking the infected or plucked hair. Specimens were sent to department of microbiology was studied for a longer duration.

Sample was subjected for direct microscopic examination using 10% /40% KOH. Samples were...
inoculated on Sabourud's dextrose agar slopes and dermatophyte test media. The growth in SDA tubes was studied and further identification of species was done by performing slide culture, hair perforation test, rice grain test and biochemical test like urease test. Statistical analysis was done by using Chi-square test.

**Results**

A total of 166 patients were studied. The age range was 3-70 years. Maximum number of cases were in the age group of 21 to 30 years (26%) followed by 31 to 40 years (25%). In our study males (55.5%) were more commonly affected than females (44.5%). Male to female ratio was 1.33:1. Infection was most common in low income group (83%) and commonly seen in labour class (45%) followed by homemakers (28.5%), students (17%), professionals (6%) and others (3.5%). The cases peaked during the month of August – September (22.5%). Out of 166 patients, 125 cases (75.3%) were positive for fungi by KOH and 118 cases (71.1%) showed growth in culture.

Tinea corporis was the commonest clinical type encountered (36.14%) followed by tinea incognito (10.24%), tinea cruris (9.64%), tinea pedis (9.04%), tinea manum (7.25%), tinea capitis & tinea unguium (6.63% each), tinea corporis+ tinea cruris (6.02%), tinea faciae (5.42%) and tinea barbae (3.01%) [Table 1].

The commonest age group affected was 31 to 40 years in tinea corporis. Tinea capitis was mainly seen in children below 10 yrs (90.90%). Males were more commonly affected than females in tinea corporis, tinea cruris and tinea incognito. Females were affected more in tinea faciae since they seek medical attention more than males for cosmetic reasons, also in tinea pedis and tinea manum because of more exposure to water during their household works.

Among 134 culture positive cases, *T. rubrum* (63.63%) [Fig. 1], was the commonest aetiological agent isolated in majority of clinical types followed by *T. mentagrophytes* (21.49%) [Fig. 2], *M. gypseum* (4.96%) [Fig. 3], *E. floccosum* (4.13%) [Fig. 7], *M. audouinii*, *T. violaeum* (2.47% each) [Fig. 4 and 5], *M. nanum* (0.83%) [Fig. 6]. *T. rubrum* was isolated in all the cases of tinea faciae (100%). *T. rubrum* was the commonest isolate in tinea corporis (63.16%), tinea incognito (76.92%), tinea pedis (76.92%), tinea manum (77.78%), tinea cruris (78.58%) and tinea unguium (50%). *M. audouinii*, *T. violaeum* and *M. nanum* were isolated only in tinea capitis (3, 3, 1 cases each resp) [Table 2].

**Table 1: Age and sex wise distribution of cases in relation to clinical types**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical types</th>
<th>≤10 M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>11-20 M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>21-30 M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>31-40 M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>&gt;51 M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T.corporis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.incognito</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.cruris</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.pedis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.manum</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.capitis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.unguium</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.cruris+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.corporis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.faciae</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.barbae</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Dermatophytes isolated in relation to the clinical types**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical type</th>
<th>KOH positive</th>
<th>Total culture positive</th>
<th>T. rubrum</th>
<th>T. mentagrophytes</th>
<th>T. violaeum</th>
<th>M. audouinii</th>
<th>M. gypseum</th>
<th>M. nanum</th>
<th>E. floccosum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T.corporis n=60</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.pedis n=15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.manum n=12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.cruris n=16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.capitis n=11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.barbae n=5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.faciae n=9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.unguim n=11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.incognito n=17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.cruris+T.corporis n=10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total isolates n=166</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1:** *Trichophyton rubrum* – culture on SDA

**Fig. 2:** *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* - culture on SDA
Fig. 3: *Trichophyton violaceum* – culture on SDA

Fig. 4: *Microsporum audouinii* – culture on SDA

Fig. 5: *Microsporum gypseum* – culture on SDA
Discussion
Cutaneous mycoses are one of the commonest clinical conditions seen by medical practitioners and dermatologists. Various factors contribute for their occurrence, like overcrowding, low socioeconomic status, unhygienic conditions and outdoor activities. It is most common in developing countries, but as such in developed countries the prevalence is low because of better living condition, health education and awareness.

The present study shows that dermatophytosis was more commonly seen in 21 to 30 years (26%) of age group, followed by 31 to 40 years (25%), 41 to 50 years (17%), 11 to 20 years (16.5%), >51 years (10%) and ≤10 years (5.5%). Young adults were more affected because of their greater physical activity and more sweating. In the present study males (55.5%) were affected more commonly than the females (44.5%). Male to female ratio was 1.33:1. Male predominance is probably due to higher exposure to infection in schools, public bath sporting activities and the type of shoes and socks they use.

In the present study, low income group (83%) was most commonly involved in the infection, followed by middle income group (12%) and high income group (5%), which was also the observation of Ranganathan who reported that 69.2% of infected people were of low and very low income group and 23.2% from middle income group. This may be due to the poor hygienic conditions, sharing clothes without washing them properly overcrowding and also because of poor nutritional intake.

Infection was commonly seen in labour class (45%) followed by homemakers (28.5%), students (17%), professionals (6%) and others (3.5%). These were correlating well with findings of Nawal P. This could be due to increased physical activity, strenuous working conditions in labour class and increased wet work in homemakers. The cases peaked during the month of August – September (22.5%) similar to the findings of Bhavsar H in September due to the monsoon season which promotes the infection.

T. rubrum (63.63%) was the most common aetiological agent isolated in majority of the clinical types followed by T. mentagrophytes (21.49%) which is comparable with other studies done by Nawal P, Patel P and Bindu V.

Conclusion
Dermatophytosis is very commonly seen in India. Hot and humid climatic condition in association with poor hygiene plays an important role for the growth of fungi. Recently, there is increase in the incidence of
fungal infections because of frequent usage of antibiotics, immunosuppressive drugs and various comorbid conditions like organ transplantations, lymphomas, leukemia and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infections.

The prevalence of dermatophytosis usually differ with respect to various geographical locations. India due to high humidity and temperature acts as a rich ground for the heavy growth of dermatophytes. The commonest cause for dermatophytosis and onycomycosis in India is *Trichophyton* species among which *T. rubrum* is the most common isolate.

Ideas regarding the fungal infections prevalent in the particular region is important to know the burden of infection and its course of infection. This helps to plan for the proper infrastructure required for various epidemiological and laboratory studies, and further to evaluate interventions required for treatment.
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E.floccosum: Epidermophyton floccosum  
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T.rubrum: Trichophyton rubrum  
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