Introduction: Vitamin D deficiency is prevalent in India, a finding that is unexpected in a tropical country with abundant sunshine. Vitamin D deficiency is recognized as the most untreated nutritional deficiency currently in the world.\textsuperscript{1}

In the last three years, an increasing amount of research suggests that some of the damage done by vitamin D deficiency is done in-utero while the fetus is developing. Much of that damage may be permanent, it cannot be fully reversed by taking vitamin D after birth. The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency has been reported to range from 15\% to 80\%.\textsuperscript{2}

In present study, we aimed to evaluate the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in pregnancy and its correlation with the maternal complications and perinatal outcome.\textsuperscript{3,4}

Materials and Methods: A hospital based observational study was conducted at Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of a tertiary care center. The aim was to evaluate the vitamin D status among pregnant women and its effect on neonatal outcome. Vitamin D levels of 200 ANC females was computed and cases were categorized as per vitamin D levels into following 4 categories: normal, hypovitaminosis, insufficiency and deficiency.

Results: Normal vitamin D levels were seen in 5.5\% cases only while hypovitaminosis D, vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency was seen in 59.5\%, 28\% and 5.5\% cases respectively while 94.5\% cases had decreased levels.

A significant association was observed between incidence of caesarean section and vitamin D status with 71.4\% caesarean deliveries in deficit group as compared to 18.2\% in normal group (p<0.05).

Conclusion: We therefore recommend the screening of all pregnant women for vitamin D deficiency. Considering such a high prevalence, routine supplementation of pregnant mothers with daily 400 IU vitamin D with 1000 mg calcium can be considered.
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9. Low birth weight was seen in 30.5% cases. NICU admission was seen in 46% cases.
10. No significant association was seen between vitamin D status with ANC registration, obstetric history, gestation age, past history of vitamin D/Calcium Intake and birth weight (p>0.05).
11. NICU admission was seen in 27.3% cases with normal vitamin D levels as compared to 41.4%, 50.4% and 42.9% cases of hypovitaminosis D, vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency respectively. The difference was however statistically non-significant.
12. No difference was observed in mean APGAR scores across different vitamin D categories.

Table 1: Distribution of cases as per Vitamin D status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vitamin D Status</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deficient</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>59.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypovitaminosis</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Association of vitamin D status with mode of delivery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of Delivery</th>
<th>Vitamin D group</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deficient</td>
<td>Insufficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSCS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p- value <0.05

Table 3: Association of vitamin D status with NICU admission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NICU Admission</th>
<th>Vitamin D group</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deficient</td>
<td>Insufficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p- value - 0.394

Discussion
Vitamin D is an important hormone in the body. Vitamin D deficiency during pregnancy is associated with the non-classical actions of this hormone, being linked with preeclampsia, insulin resistance, gestational diabetes mellitus, bacterial vaginosis, and an increased risk for cesarean section delivery.5

Vitamin D deficiency results in proximal muscle weakness and decreased lower extremity muscle function perhaps contributing to the risk for cesarean section delivery.6

Recently, Merewood et al. found that there was an inverse association between serum 25(OH) D levels and the risk of having a primary cesarean section. In multivariable, logistic, regression analysis, controlling for race, age, education level, insurance status and alcohol use, women with 25(OH)D < 37.5nmol/L were almost four times more likely to have a cesarean section than women with 25(OH)D ≥ 37.5nmol/L (adjusted OR=3.84; 95% CI: 1.71-8.62).7 This finding can be explained partly by poor muscular function, which has been an established consequence of vitamin D deficiency.8

The importance of vitamin D for fetal and infant skeletal development has long been recognized. Several studies have reported association between infant size, normal vitamin D levels were seen in 5.5% cases only while hypovitaminosis D, vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency was seen in 59.5%, 28% and 5.5% cases respectively.

A significant association was observed between incidence of caesarean section and vitamin D status with 71.4% caesarean deliveries in deficit group as compared to 18.2% in normal group (p<0.05)

NICU admission was seen in 27.3% cases with normal vitamin D levels as compared to 41.4%, 50.4% and 42.9% cases of hypovitaminosis D, vitamin D insufficiency and deficiency respectively. (p value 0.394)
weight and vitamin D status. Reduced concentration of 25-hydroxyvitamin D in mothers during late pregnancy is associated with reduced whole body and lumbar-spine bone mineral content in their children at the age of 9 years. Several studies hypothesized that low prenatal and perinatal vitamin D concentrations affect the functional characteristics of various tissues of the body, which leads to lower birth weight and greater risk in later life of multiple sclerosis, cancer, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and schizophrenia.

### Role of Vitamin D

Vitamin D helps in gene transcription and expression. Vitamin D receptors (VDRs) initiate a cascade of events that leads to transcription of specific genes. Vitamin D has genomic and non-genomic actions. 1,25(OH)₂D interacts with nuclear vitamin D receptors to cause gene transcription. Source of nuclear receptors for 1,25(OH)₂D include bone, intestine, kidney, lung, muscle and skin. 1,25(OH)₂D also acts like a steroid hormone. It activates the signal transduction pathways connected to vitamin D receptors on cell membranes. Majority of it acts on bone, intestine, parathyroid, liver and pancreatic beta cells.

Major function of vitamin D is to maintain calcium homeostasis which possesses an impact on cellular metabolic processes and neuromuscular functions. Vitamin D increases intestinal calcium absorption by regulating the epithelial calcium channel protein, which in turn enhances the transport of calcium through the cytosol and across the basolateral membrane of the enterocyte. Vitamin D also helps in the absorption of intestinal phosphate. 1,25(OH)₂D indirectly affects bone mineralization by maintaining plasma calcium and phosphorus concentrations. With the parathyroid hormone, 1, 25(OH)₂D also causes demineralization of bone when calcium concentrations decrease to maintain plasma concentrations within a narrow range.

Other tissues and cells that are influenced by vitamin D include five biological systems. These are immune system, pancreas, cardiovascular, muscle and brain. 1,25(OH)₂D also inhibits tumor cell growth, by decreasing angiogenesis and thus causing apoptosis of the tumor cells. In addition, 1,25(OH)₂D inhibits renin production in the kidney and has an immunomodulatory activity on monocytes and activated T and B lymphocytes. Presently, there are around 200 genes that respond to 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D.

### Cellular Differentiation

Vitamin D plays a pivotal role in cellular differentiation by decreasing proliferation of cells and their maturation. It’s a very important function to prevent cancer.

### Vitamin D as an Immunomodulator

Vitamin D receptors are located on activated T and B lymphocytes, monocytes, and macrophages, hence it’s an immunomodulator. It may lead to the prevention of autoimmune diseases when adequate serum levels of vitamin D are maintained.

### Insulin Secretion

Vitamin D and the prevention of diabetes is correlated. VDRs are located on the beta cells of the pancreas. Whenever the body requires increased amounts of insulin, vitamin D helps in the secretion of insulin. Recent studies have concluded that when an insufficient amount vitamin D3 is present, glucose intolerance and impaired insulin secretion are observed in people with type 2 diabetes.

### Hypertension

Adequate vitamin D3 level decrease risk for cardiovascular disease. VDRs are located on vascular smooth muscle, endothelium, and cardiomyocytes.

### Vitamin D Deficiency

Vitamin D deficiency is defined as a serum 25(OH) D level of less than 20 ng/mL (50 nmol/L). Vitamin D insufficiency has been defined as a serum 25(OH)D level of 21-29 ng/mL (52-72 nmol/l). It’s based on the physiological changes in calcium absorption and parathyroid hormone levels which occur with changes in vitamin D levels. But in this study it is included in deficient category.

Vitamin D sufficiency has been defined as serum 25(OH) D levels of 30 ng/mL (75 nmol/L).

### Conclusion

In conclusion, high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency/insufficiency was observed among pregnant women (66.5%). We also observed an association of low vitamin D levels with caesarean section and NICU admission. We therefore recommend the screening of all pregnant women for vitamin D deficiency. Considering such a high prevalence, routine supplementation of pregnant mothers with daily 400 IU vitamin D with 1000 mg calcium can be considered. The high prevalence found in this study, the size of the population groups at risk, and the consequences of inaction make this screening and treatment necessary.

We also recommend further research on the daily dosage recommendations along with other factors affecting vitamin D levels in pregnant females like eating pattern, compliance to prescribed drugs and sun light exposure.
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