

Prevalence and drug resistance pattern of *Citrobacter* spp – A retrospective study

Sodani Sadhna¹, Ranjana Hawaldar^{2*}

¹Associate Professor, ²Consultant Pathologist, ¹Dept. of Microbiology, ²Dept. of Pathology, ¹MGM Medical College, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, ²Sampurna Sodani Diagnostic Clinic, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

***Corresponding Author: Ranjana Hawaldar**

Email: drranjana@sampurnadiagnostics.com

Abstract

Introduction: *Citrobacter* are motile, straight, gram negative bacilli and are facultative anaerobes. Nosocomial infections by *Citrobacter* account for about 3-6% of all infections caused by Enterobacteriaceae. Urinary tract infections are the most common infection caused by *Citrobacter* spp. followed by infections of GI tract, skin and soft tissues and pneumonia. The present study was conducted in the microbiology department of our diagnostic centre between July to December 2018 with the aim of studying the prevalence of *Citrobacter* infections in our clinical setting and also to study its antibiotic sensitivity pattern.

Materials and Methods: This was a prospective study carried out in Microbiology department of our diagnostic centre between July to December 2018. A total of 3758 patients of both sexes registered for culture and sensitivity testing were included in the study. Samples were collected by following thorough aseptic techniques in sterile containers/swabs and were plated on 5% sheep blood agar and Macconkey agar and incubated at 37°C for 18-24 hours. Isolated organism was identified by Gram's stain and colony morphology and further by biochemical tests. Antibiotic sensitivity was done on Vitek II (Biomérieux).

Results: Out of 3758 clinical specimens received in the laboratory for culture and sensitivity, bacterial growth was observed in 1226(32.6%) specimens. Growth of *Citrobacter* spp was observed in 1.22% (15/1226) of all positive cultures. *Citrobacter* was isolated in 12(2.19%) urine cultures, and one each in blood pus and vaginal swab. In urinary isolates, Nalidixic acid was resistant and intermediate sensitivity to Ciprofloxacin. In blood and vaginal swab, *Citrobacter* showed sensitivity to Piperacillin/ Tazobactam, Cefoperazone/Sulbactam, Ertapenem, Meropenem and Imipenem, Amikacin, Gentamycin, Tigecycline, Nitrofurantoin and Colistin. In pus, sensitivity was observed to Piperacillin/Tazobactam and Colistin only while all other antibiotics were resistant.

Conclusion: *Citrobacter* spp is emerging as an opportunistic pathogen especially in immunocompromised patients and in hospital settings with resistance to multiple drugs. Thorough aseptic precautions by hospital staff and proper surveillance measures will help in preventing emergence of multidrug resistant strains of *Citrobacter* spp.

Keywords: *Citrobacter*, Multi drug resistance, Nosocomial infection.

Introduction

Citrobacter species are a part of Enterobacteriaceae family. They are motile, straight, gram negative bacilli and are facultative anaerobes. They are motile by peritrichous flagella. Werkman and Gillen in 1932 proposed the genus *Citrobacter*.¹ *Citrobacter* spp are found in water, soil and as commensal in the gastrointestinal tract of animals and humans. *Citrobacter* infections are usually nosocomial but can be community acquired. In a large surveillance study by Jones RN et al in 1991, it was found that nearly 0.8% of all gram negative infections were due to *Citrobacter* spp.² Nosocomial infections by *Citrobacter* account for about 3-6% of all infections caused by Enterobacteriaceae.^{3,4} *Citrobacter* infections may be transmitted vertically from mother to baby or by horizontal route through carriers or other nosocomial sources.⁵ *Citrobacter* infections are common in neonates presenting as sepsis or meningitis or even brain abscess. Elderly debilitated, immunocompromised patients are also at risk of developing *Citrobacter* infections.^{6,7} Urinary tract infections are the most common infection caused by *Citrobacter* spp. Followed by infections of GI tract, skin and soft tissues and pneumonia.⁸ *Citrobacter* spp are commensals of oral cavity, lower GI tract and respiratory tract. It can cause outbreaks of nosocomial infections through hands of carriers in hospital staff.^{9,10} Urinary tract infections are one of the commonest nosocomial infections accounting for about 40%

of all hospital acquired infections and result in a great deal of morbidity and mortality.^{11,12} *Citrobacter* accounts for about 5-12% of all urinary isolates in adults.¹³

The present study was conducted in the microbiology department of our diagnostic centre between July to December 2018 with the aim of studying the prevalence of *Citrobacter* infections in our clinical setting and also to study its antibiotic sensitivity pattern.

Materials and Methods

This was a prospective study carried out in Microbiology department of our diagnostic centre between July to December 2018. A total of 3758 patients of both sexes registered for culture and sensitivity testing were included in the study. The patients were divided into 0-20, 21-40, 41-60, 61-80 and more than 80 years age group in both the sexes. All clinical specimens like urine, stool, pus, CSF, vaginal swab etc were included in the study. Samples were collected by following thorough aseptic techniques in sterile containers /swabs and were plated on 5% sheep blood agar and Macconkey agar and incubated at 37°C for 18-24 hours. Isolated organism was identified by Gram's stain and colony morphology and further by biochemical tests. Antibiotic sensitivity was done on Vitek II (Biomérieux). The criteria for identification of *Citrobacter* was;

Gram Stain: Gram negative, straight rods, singly or in pairs, about 1 micrometer in diameter.

Motility: Motile by peritrichous flagella.

Colony Morphology: 2-4 millimeters in diameter, smooth, low, convex and moist, translucent or opaque and gray with a shiny surface and an entire edge. Facultatively anaerobic.

Biochemical Reactions: Citrate utilized as the sole carbon source.

Catalase-positive. Oxidase-negative. Nitrate reduced to nitrite.

Lysine: Decarboxylase-negative.

Methyl: Red-positive.

Voges: Proskauer-negative.

Results

This was a retrospective study carried out in the microbiology department of our diagnostic centre between July to December 2018. There were 3758 patients out of which 1769(47.1%) were males and 1989(52.9%) were females with a male to female ratio of 0.88:1.

Maximum number of patients were below 20 years of age(33.7%), followed by 31.1% in 21-40 years age group, 18.3% in 41-60 years age group, 14.7% in 61-80 years age group and only 2.2% patients were above 80 years of age. (Table 1)

In patients below 20 years, there was a male preponderance (40.5%) as compared to females (27.6%) while in 21-40 years age group there were 38.9% females and 22.4% males. A male preponderance was also observed in 61-80 years age group with 17.9% males and 11.9% females.

Out of 3758 clinical specimens received in the laboratory for culture and sensitivity, bacterial growth was observed in 1226(32.6%) specimens while there was no growth in 2532(67.37%) patients. Growth of *Citrobacter* sps was observed in 1.22% (15/1226) of all positive cultures. *Citrobacter* was isolated in 12(2.19%) urine cultures, and one each in blood pus and vaginal swab. Out of 15 *Citrobacter* isolates, urine was the most common clinical specimen (80%)(Table 2)

Out of 12 positive urine cultures maximum were in 21-40 years age group (58.5%) followed by 25% in 41-60 and 16.6% below 20 years of age. Out of these, 9(75%) were females and 3(25%) were males. (Table 3)

Citrobacter was sensitive to Amoxy clavulanic acid in urine specimens while resistant in blood, pus and vaginal swab growth. Piperacillin was sensitive in all these specimens.

In urinary isolates, Nalidixic acid was resistant with an MIC value of > 16 and intermediate sensitivity was observed to Ciprofloxacin. *Citrobacter* was sensitive to all other antibiotics in urine. In blood, *Citrobacter* showed sensitivity to Piperacillin/ Tazobactam, Cefoperazone/ Sulbactam, Ertapenem, Meropenem and Imipenem, Amikacin, Gentamycin, Tigecycline, Nitrofurantoin and Colistin. In pus, sensitivity was observed to Piperacillin/Tazobactam and Colistin only while all other antibiotics were resistant. In vaginal swab, sensitivity was observed to ceftriaxone, Cefoperazone /sulbactam,

Piperacillin/tazobactam, cefipime, Ertapenem, Meropenem, Imipenem, Amikacin, gentamycin, Nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin and Colistin. (Table 4).

Table 1: Demographic data of patients

S. No.	Age (years)	Male	% Male	Female	% Female	Total
1	0 - 20	717	56.6%	549	43.4%	1266
2	21 - 40	396	33.8%	773	66.2%	1169
3	41 - 60	295	42.9%	392	51.1%	687
4	61 - 80	317	57.3%	236	42.7%	553
5	>80	44	53.00%	39	47.0%	83
Total		1769	47.1%	1989	52.9%	3758

Table 2: Incidence of citrobacter in different clinical specimens

S. No	Specimen	Overall Total Patients	No Growth Total Patients	Growth		Citrobacter	
				Total Patients	% Total Growth	Total Patients	% Citrobacter Growth
1	Urine	1904	1357	547	28.7%	12	2.19%
2	Blood	966	703	263	27.2%	1	0.38%
3	Pus	355	128	227	63.9%	1	0.44%
4	Sputum	108	66	42	38.9%	0	0.00%
5	Stool	54	45	9	16.7%	0	0.00%
6	Vaginal Swab	152	106	46	30.3%	1	2.17%
7	Throat Swab	122	65	57	46.7%	0	0.00%
8	CSF	19	18	1	5.26%	0	0.00%
9	ET Secretion	17	1	16	94.12%	0	0.00%
10	Body Fluid	45	34	11	24.44%	0	0.00%
11	Semen	14	9	5	35.71%	0	0.00%
12	Breast Abscess	2	0	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total		3758	2532	1226	32.62%	15	1.22%

Table 3: Demographic data of citrobacter isolates in urine

Urine C/S			
S. No.	Age (years)	Male	Female
1	0 - 20	2	0
2	21 - 40	0	7
3	41 - 60	1	2
4	61 - 80	0	0
5	>80	0	0

Table 4: MIC of citrobacter in different clinical specimens

Specimen	Urine		Blood		Pus		Vaginal Swab		
	Antibiotics	MIC	Interpretation	MIC	Interpretation	MIC	Interpretation	MIC	Interpretation
Amoxicillin/ Clavulanic Acid		4	S	>16	R	>16	R	>16	R
Piperacillin/ Tazobactam		<= 4	S	16	S	>64	S	<= 4	S
Cefuroxime		4	S	>32	R	>32	R	>32	R
Cefuroxime Axetil		4	S	>32	R	>32	R	>32	R
Ceftriaxone		<= 1	S	>32	R	>32	R	<= 1	S
Cefoperazone/ Sulbactam		<=8	S	16	S	>32	R	<= 8	S
Cefepime		<= 1	S	>32	R	>32	R	<= 1	S
Ertapenem		<= 0.5	S	<= 0.5	S	>4	R	<= 0.5	S
Imipenem		<=0.25	S	<=0.25	S	>8	R	<= 0.25	S
Meropenem		<=0.25	S	<=0.25	S	>8	R	<= 0.25	S
Amikacin		<= 2	S	4	S	>32	R	<= 2	S
Gentamicin		<= 1	S	<= 1	S	>8	R	<= 1	S
Nalidixic Acid		> 16	R	> 16	R	> 16	R	16	S
Ciprofloxacin		0.5	I	> 2	R	> 2	R	0.5	I
Tigecycline		<= 0.5	S	<= 0.5	S	4	R	1	S
Nitrofuratoin		<= 16	S	<= 16	S	> 256	R	--	--
Colistin		<= 0.5	S	<= 0.5	S	<= 0.5	S	<= 0.5	S
Trimethoprim/ Sulfamethoxazole		<= 20	S	>160	R	>160	R	>160	R

Discussion

Citrobacter sps are normal inhabitants of the intestinal tract and are found in the human and animal faecal matter, in soil, sewage and food.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ It is an important cause of nosocomial infections as epidemics are known to occur due to carriage of *Citrobacter* on the hands and in the GI tract of hospital staff. The prevalence of urinary infections by *Citrobacter* sps is on the rise. Invasive procedures like catheterization help the bacteria to colonise the urinary bladder and when the immunity is compromised it can cause severe bacteremia. The situation is further aggravated by emergence of multidrug resistant strains of *Citrobacter* sps.¹⁷ In our study, we had a slight female preponderance with an overall incidence of 1.22% for isolation of *Citrobacter* sps in various clinical specimens. Maximum patients were below 20 years of age. Out of the total 15 *Citrobacter* isolates, 12 were isolated from urine specimens, mostly in 21-40 years age group (58.5%) with 75% females. Maximum sensitivity was observed to piperacillin Tazobactam, Cefoperazone sulbactam, colistin, Tigecycline, Ertapnem, meropenem, imipenem. *Citrobacter* demonstrated Resistance to amoxyclovalinic acid, cefuroxime, cefuroxime axetil and nalidixic acid and 100% resistance to ciprofloxacin. The multi drug resistance pattern of *Citrobacter* may be attributed to the fact that both clinical

and environmental strains may be a reservoir of antimicrobial resistance determinants.¹⁸⁻²² Hiba Sami et al in their study observed a prevalence rate of 3.46% for *Citrobacter* sps. With a female preponderance. In their study Amikacin was susceptible in 85.2% isolates while there was poor activity of fluoroquinolones against *Citrobacter*. The most effective antibiotic was Imipenem.²³ Okonko et al also had similar findings in their study.²⁴ Maripandi et al reported *Citrobacter* prevalence rate of 1.3% in their study which is similar to our study.²⁵ Metri Basavraj et al observed an isolation rate of 15.7% in urinary infections and these isolates were found to be resistant to Cefalaxin, Norfloxacin, Ciprofloxacin and aminoglycosides.²⁶ Shih et al also had similar findings in their study.²⁷ Sneha Mohan et al in their study had maximum *Citrobacter* isolates in pus specimens with sensitivity to Carbapenems and Penicillin group of antibiotics.²⁸

Conclusion

Citrobacter sps is emerging as an opportunistic pathogen especially in immunocompromised patients and in hospital settings with resistance to multiple drugs. This is a cause of great concern. Early diagnosis and timely and judicious initiation of antibiotic therapy is key factor in eliminating the pathogen and preventing further nosocomial spread and

indiscriminate use of antibiotics. Thorough aseptic precautions by hospital staff and proper surveillance measures will help in preventing emergence of multidrug resistant strains of *Citrobacter* sps.

Conflict of Interest: None.

References

- Wiseman LR, Wagstaff AJ, Brogden RN, Bryson HM. Meropenem: a review of its antibacterial activity, pharmacokinetic properties and clinical efficacy. *Drugs* 1995;50:73-101.
- Jones RN, Jenkins SG, Hoban DJ, Pfaller MA, Ramphal R. In vitro efficacy of six cephalosporins tested against Enterobacteriaceae isolated at 38 North American medical centers participating in the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program, 1997 – 1998. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2000;15:111-18.
- Lavigne JP, Defez C, Bouziges N, Mahamat A, Sotto A. Clinical and molecular epidemiology of multidrug-resistant *Citrobacter* spp. infections in a French university hospital. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2007;26:439-41.
- Lipsky BA, Hook EW III, Smith AA, Plorde JJ. *Citrobacter* infections in humans: experience at the Seattle Veterans Administration Medical Center and a review of the literature. *Rev Infect Dis* 1980;2:746-60
- Doran TI. The role of *Citrobacter* in clinical disease of children: review. *Clin Infect Dis* 1999;28:384-94.
- Graham DR, Band JD. *Citrobacter diversus* brain abscess and meningitis in neonates. *JAMA* 1981;245:1923-25.
- Kline MW, Kaplan SL, Hawkins EP, Mason EO. Pathogenesis of brain abscess formation in an infant rat model of *Citrobacter diversus* bacteremia and meningitis. *J Infect Dis* 1988;157:106-12.
- Samonis G, Karageorgopoulos DE, Kofteridis DP, Matthaïou DK, Sidiropoulou V, Maraki S, et al. *Citrobacter* infections in a general hospital: characteristics and outcomes. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2009;28:61-8.
- Lin FY, Devoe WF, Morrison C. Outbreak of neonatal *Citrobacter diversus* meningitis in a suburban hospital. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1987;6:50-5.
- Parry MF, Hutchinson JH, Brown NA, Wu CH, Estreller L. Gram-negative sepsis in neonates: a nursery outbreak due to hand carriage of *Citrobacter diversus*. *Pediatr* 1980;65:1105-9.
- Cox CE. Nosocomial urinary tract infections. *Urol* 1988;32:210-5.
- Steadman R, Topley N. The virulence of *Escherichia coli* in urinary tract. In: Brumfitt W, Jeremy MT, Hamilton Miller, editors. Urinary tract infections. 1st ed. London: Chapman and Hall; 1998. p. 37-41.
- Metri BC, Jyothi P, Peerapur BV. Antibiotic resistance in *Citrobacter* spp. isolated from urinary tract infection. *Urol Ann* 2013;5:312-3.
- Abbott S. *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter*, *Citrobacter* and *Serratia*. In: Murray PR, Baron EJ, Tenover FC, Tenover FC, Tenover RH, editors. Manual of clinical microbiology. 7thed. New York: ASM Press; 1999:475-82.
- Lavigne JP, Defez C, Bouziges N, Mahamat A, Sotto A. Clinical and molecular epidemiology of multidrug-resistant *Citrobacter* spp. infections in a French university hospital. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2007;26:439-41.
- Crichton PB. Enterobacteriaceae: *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella*, *Proteus* and other genera. In: Collee JG, Fraser AG, Marmion BP, Simmons A, editors. Mackie and McCartney. Practical medical microbiology. 14th ed. London: Churchill Livingstone; 1996. p. 361-84.
- Thapa B, Adhikari P, Mahat K, Chhetri MR, Joshi LN. Multidrug-resistant nosocomial *Citrobacter* in a hospital in Kathmandu. *Nepal Med Coll J* 2009;11:195-9.
- Pepperell C., Kus J. V., Gardam M. A., Humar A., Burrows L. L. Low-virulence *Citrobacter* species encode resistance to multiple antimicrobials. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother* 2002;46:3555–60.
- Nada T., Baba H., Kawamura K., Ohkura T., Torii K., Ohta M. A small outbreak of third generation cephem-resistant *Citrobacter freundii* infection on a surgical ward. *Jpn J Infect Dis* 2004;57:181-2.
- Yim G., Kwong W., Davies J., Miao V. Complex integrons containing *qnrB4-ampC (bla_{DHA-1})* in plasmids of multidrug-resistant *Citrobacter freundii* from wastewater. *Can J Microbiol* 2013;59:110–6.
- Feng J., Qiu Y., Yin Z., Chen W., Yang H., Yang W., et al. (2015). Coexistence of a novel KPC-2-encoding MDR plasmid and an NDM-1-encoding pNDM-HN380-like plasmid in a clinical isolate of *Citrobacter freundii*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2015;70:2987–91.
- Leski T. A., Taitt C. R., Bangura U., Ansumana R., Stenger D. A., Wang Z., et al. Finished genome sequence of the highly multidrug-resistant human urine isolate *Citrobacter freundii* strain SL151. *Genome Announc* 2016;4:e01225–16
- Sami H, Sultan A, Rizvi M, Khan F, Ahmad S, Shukla I, Khan HM. *Citrobacter* as a uropathogen, its prevalence and antibiotics susceptibility pattern. *CHRISMED J Health Res* 2017;4:23-6.
- Okonko IO, Ijandipe LA, Ilusanya AO, Donbraye-Emmanuel OB, Ejembi J, Udeze AO, et al. Incidence of UTI among pregnant women in Ibadan South-Western Nigeria. *Afr J Biotechnol* 2009;8:6649-57
- Maripandi A, Ali A, Salamah A, Amuthan M. Prevalence and antibiotics susceptibility of uropathogens in patients from a rural environment, Tamil Nadu. *Am J Infect Dis* 2010;6:29-32.
- Metri Basavraj C, P. Jyothi. Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of *Citrobacter* spp. Isolated from patients with urinary tract infections in tertiary care hospital in south India. *Int J Pharm Sci* 2015;7(1):252-4.
- Shih CC, Chen YC, Chang SC, Luh KT, Hsieh WC. Bacteremia due to *Citrobacter* species: significance of primary intraabdominal infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 1996;23:543-9.
- Mohan S, Agarwal J, Srivastava R, Singh M. Observations on *Citrobacter* species from a tertiary care health center with special reference to multi-drug resistance and presence of CTX-M gene. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol* 2014;57(3):439-41.

How to cite this article: Sadhna S, Hawaldar R. Prevalence and drug resistance pattern of *Citrobacter* sps – A retrospective study. *Indian J Microbiol Res* 2019;6(2):142-5.