Case Report

Could acute angle closure glaucoma be an indicator of something more ominous?
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ABSTRACT

Choroidal melanoma is the most common intraocular tumor in adults, but is rare in Asia. Patients can present with diminished vision, floaters or visual field loss but most often, tumors are detected incidentally on routine examination. We report a case of a 71 year old female who presented to us with decreased vision and pain in the right eye, who was diagnosed with acute angle closure glaucoma and was treated for the same. On further evaluation, she was found to have choroidal melanoma. This highlights the need for complete evaluation of a successfully treated acute angle closure crisis case.
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1. Introduction

Choroidal melanoma is the predominant primary intraocular malignant tumor and is the second most common site of malignant melanomas in the body.1,2 Uveal melanoma has an incidence of 5.1 cases per million, with choroidal melanoma representing 90% of all cases.3

Choroidal melanomas are usually found incidentally on slit-lamp examination and fundoscopy. A quarter of them are asymptomatic and others may present with symptoms of flashes, floaters or diminution of vision.4 It appears as a sessile, dome-shaped, or mushroom-shaped, pigmented mass, located deep to the sensory retina, often associated with subretinal fluid and exudative retinal detachment. Over time, the tumor grows anteriorly and the chief complaint on presentation can be pain due to glaucoma which may masquerade the underlying condition. With this case report, we would like to highlight the importance of detailed evaluation in cases presenting as acute angle closure glaucoma.
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2. Case Report

A 71-year-old lady presented to us with a history of gradual onset of diminution of vision in the right eye since 1 year and sudden onset pain from 2 days.

On examination, her visual acuity in right eye was perception of light with inaccurate projection of rays and in the left eye was 3/60. Slit lamp evaluation of the right-eye revealed acute angle closure crisis with ciliary congestion, corneal epithelial edema, shallow anterior chamber, 4mm pupil and an intra-ocular pressure (IOP) of 60mmHg. On gonioscopy, 360 degree angle closure was observed. Examination of the left eye showed a shallow anterior chamber, but trabecular meshwork was visible on gonioscopy. The left eye was normal otherwise and IOP was 18mmHg.

Emergency measures in the form of oral glycerol, oral acetazolamide, topical timolol and brimonidine were started and Nd YAG laser iridotomy was done in the right eye following which intraocular pressure reduced and cornea cleared. Blood was noted behind the lens and yellow fundus glow was seen.
Further evaluation revealed dome shaped retro lenticular mass originating supero-temporally and obscuring view of the rest of the posterior segment. On further investigation by UBM and B scan, the presence of retro lenticular mass in the supero-temporal quadrant was confirmed, which caused anterior displacement of the iris lens diaphragm leading to secondary angle closure. (Fig.1 and Fig.2) MRI revealed the mass to be of choroidal origin limited to the posterior segment arising from superotemporal part of choroid suggestive of choroidal melanoma (Fig.3). CT abdomen followed by fine needle aspiration cytology of lesions in the liver confirmed metastatic melanoma.

The patient was lost to follow up for a month as she was pain free, but presented again with severe pain in the right eye. She underwent enucleation of her right eye. Histopathological examination showed spindly cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm and dense dark brown melanin pigments masking the nucleus. Few cells showed round to oval nucleus with prominent nucleoli. The tumor cells were seen infiltrating the underlying sclera with extrascleral infiltration in the superotemporal quadrant near the optic nerve (Fig. 4). Optic nerve showed no tumor infiltration. Sections studied from the vortex veins showed no tumor emboli.

She chose not to undergo any further treatment for the metastasis.

### 3. Discussion

The above case is of a 71 year old Indian female who presented with symptoms of acute angle closure crisis and following emergency management was diagnosed to have choroidal melanoma.

Choroidal melanomas are the most common type of primary intraocular tumor in adults, but they are still rare with only 6–8 cases per million population. It is commonly found in Caucasian females in the age group of 50-60 years. In contrast, our patient was a 71 year old Indian lady. Secondary elevation of intraocular pressure (IOP) occurs in 5% of intraocular tumors and in 2% of choroidal melanomas. Tumors of the posterior segment are more likely to cause glaucoma. The secondary glaucoma has been reported to be due to various mechanisms like angle invasion of tumor cells, angle closure by mass effect on the iris, iris neovascularization, hyphema and supra choroidal hemorrhage.
Our patient had a retro lenticular mass in the superotemporal part which was the cause of secondary angle closure by pupillary block mechanism. This was inferred because the IOP lowered following iridotomy and the patient was symptom free for a month. This pupillary block occurred secondary to the anterior displacement of the iris lens diaphragm. There are reports of inflammation caused by necrotic tumors causing posterior synechiae, which can exacerbate angle closure through a pupillary block mechanism, but our patient did not develop posterior synechiae or seclusio pupillae. The recurrence of pain in a month could have been due to further growth of the tumor and additional mass effect closing the iridocorneal angle or due to tumor infarction.

This presentation of melanoma as acute angle closure crisis with pupillary block is not common. Acute angle closure crisis is an emergency situation and with the other eye also having a shallow anterior chamber, it is very easy to overlook the presence of secondary causes that are potentially life threatening.

Schwartz described a case of pupillary block angle closure crisis who underwent iridotomy and on subsequent fundus evaluation choroidal melanoma was detected. Escalona Benz et al reported two cases, one with secondary glaucoma due to angle invasion by tumor cells, and the other due to angle closure by mass effect, both caused by choroidal melanoma, diagnosed by histology after enucleation. The first case was treated with iridotomy and the melanoma was detected few weeks later when suspicion arose due to continued rise in IOP. The second case was posted for enucleation as the melanoma was detected on B-scan. Another similar case was reported by Tan where the IOP continued to be high after peripheral iridotomy and ultrasonography revealed choroidal melanoma. In scenarios where the IOP doesn’t reduce following iridotomy, Radcliffe cautions us to consider other causes of angle closure.

There are multiple such reports in literature highlighting the need for complete ocular examination following treatment of a case that presents as angle closure crisis. Especially in those cases where the IOP is successfully lowered with iridotomy, a good follow up evaluation including gonioscopy and fundus evaluation is a must.

Choroidal melanoma management includes radiotherapy, local resection or enucleation especially for unresolving cases. Survival depends upon early diagnosis and younger age of the patient, both of which were not so in this case. Unfortunately our patient had liver metastasis at presentation, which is the most common site of metastasis of choroidal melanoma. Metastasis at presentation may be seen in up to 40% of cases and median survival in the presence of metastasis is 2 to 7 months. The patient chose not to undergo any further intervention.

4. Conclusion
It is important to assess the posterior segment in the follow up of a case of acute angle closure crisis that has responded well to iridotomy, to avoid missing potentially life threatening secondary causes of angle closure like intraocular tumors.
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